1741. November 13. Drummond against Mrs Helen Cunninghame.

No 8.

A DECREE of sale being obtained at the instance of an apparent heir, who was herself also creditor, there being no reversion, it was found that the apparent heir, who, as such, drew no part of the price, was not to be burdened with the expenses of the ranking and sale, but that the same was to be proportioned among the several creditors, whereof she herself was one, in terms of the act of sederunt 1711.

The like was formerly found, anno 1738, between Nicolson, apparent heir of Trabrown, and his father's other creditors, No 7. p. 4028.

Fol. Dic. v. 3. p. 197. Kilkerran, (Expenses) No 2. p. 180.

** See This case by C. Home, voce RANKING and SALE.

1761. March 6.

Hugh Mackail, Writer in Edinburgh, against Archibald Brown of Greenbank.

ELIZABETH SHORT died in the right of one-third pro indiviso of certain houses and acres of land in and about the town of Stirling. These subjects being burdened with a considerable heritable debt in the person of Archibald Brown, Hugh Mackail, the son and apparent heir of Elizabeth Short, brought an action of sale upon the statute 1695. Mr Brown, the only creditor known of, appeared and contended, That the sale either ought not to proceed at all, or, if it should, that it ought to be at the expense of the pursuer, in case the price should fall short of the debt.

In support of this plea, he insisted, That there was the greatest reason to believe, that the price would not answer his own debt: That if the sale should proceed, and the expense be paid out of that price, he would be subjected to an additional loss: That, by the general principles of law and equity, creditors are entitled to make the most they can of their debtor's effects, in order to their own payment: That the act 1695 was not meant to injure the interests and just rights of creditors: That two privileges were by it bestowed upon apparent heirs; one, that they might bring their predecessor's estate to a sale; another, that, by entering heir upon an inventory, they might retain the estate to themselves, and be liable to the creditors only secundum vires inventarii: That both privileges have the same authority from the statute; but that it was established by the decisions of the Court, that the last could not be used to the prejudice of the creditor: That though an heir enter cum beneficio, the creditors are not obliged to suffer him to retain possession of the estate upon paying its proved value, but may, notwithstanding, bring it to a judicial sale as bankrupt; and

No 9.
An apparent heir is entitled to bring his predeces. sor's estate to a sale, whether bankrupt or not, and the creditors must bear the expenses, unless there be a reversion.