debtors to fatisfy their just and lawful debts.——THE LORDS allowed trial to be taken of the time of his being apprehended, and the manner how he was detained, or if he offered to go back to the Abbey, and was enticed to ftay, and hindered to go out; but repelled the fecond reason founded on the Queen's indemnity, and found it did not extend to take off the effects of captions for civil debt, prior to the date thereof.—For executing captions on the Sunday, fee Durie 4th July 1628, Rachlet contra Lauder. (See LEGAL DILIGENCE.)

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 361. Fountainball, vol. 2. p. 518.

1741. June 12.

HAMILTON of Redhouse against JAMES HALIBURTON, Bailie-Depute of the Abbey of Holyroodhouse, &c.

REDHOUSE having retired to the Abbey, information was exhibited to the bailie by fome of his creditors, fetting forth, That he had money in his pockets, but that he refufed to pay any of his debts with it; and that he had faid he was refolved to fly out of Scotland with it; therefore craving warrant to apprehend and fearch Redhoufe, and to take what money fhould be found in his pockets from him: The bailie accordingly, without requiring an oath of the informers, or granting a warrant to bring Redhoufe before him for examination, granted the defire of the creditors petition againft Redhoufe, who had not been booked in the bailie's books; and which warrant having been accordingly executed, and L. 5 Sterling taken from him, he, to redrefs thefe grievances, brought a procefs againft the bailie, &c. for damages.

THE LORDS found, That, in confideration of the act of the Abbey-court in the year 1697, and practice agreeable thereto, of putting captions in execution against performs not booked, it was lawful for the bailie of the Abbey to grant his concurrence complained of, for putting the caption in execution. And found, That, in confideration of the figned information exhibited to the defender Haliburton, by the other defenders, it was lawful for Haliburton to grant the warrant complained of to feize and fearch the purfuer.

Fol. Dic. v. 4. p. 269. C. Home, p. 287.

1749. July 26.

HUSBAND against CAIRNIE.

IN an action at the inftance of Paul Hufband merchant in Edinburgh, against Alexander Cairnie, late prifon-keeper in the Abbey of Holyroodhoufe, for payment of a debt of L. 8:7s. Sterling, due to him by George Beveridge, and which Beveridge had contracted while in fanctuary in the Abbey; on the ground, that Carnie, while jailor of the Abbey prifon, had allowed Beveridge, imprifoned at the inftance of another creditor, to go out of prifon, although arrefted by

A 2

No 4. The bailie of the Abbey found entitled to grant warrant to fearch for money about a debtor, who bad taken refuge there, but had not been entered in the books.

No 3.

3

No 5. The keeper

of the Abbey prifon liable, if a debtor efcape through his fault. The manner of the implifonment in the Abbey prifon...