1740. December 5. GEDD against BAKER.

No. 22.

MINORITY must be deducted in all long prescriptions, positive as well as negative. *Vide* ADJUDICATION, No. 28. *Vide* Blair, 1st July 1741, No. 8. voce MINOR. (See DICT. No. 83. p. 10789.)

1741. November 24. Gullin against Hendly.

No. 23.

ENGLISH double bond,—one having pleaded in defence against it, quod solvit ad diem, being more than 20 years from the term of payment; and being overruled upon certain circumstances, he pleaded next non est factum; but the Lords found that plea not now competent, after he had pleaded the other and been overruled. (See Dict. No. 26. p. 4465.)

1742. December 2. LORD LOVAT against LORD FORBES.

No. 24.

Found (as in the case, No. 17. supra, Captain Rutherford against Sir James Campbell) that the English statute of limitations might be pleaded here in bar of a promissory-note, granted in London by one Scots peer to another Scots peer; but referred to be heard, Whether the prisoners coming to Scotland gave him the benefit of the exception in that statute? (vide 4. H. 7. Plowd. 366.)

- \*\*\* The like found 9th December 1742, Cathcart of Corbieston against George Middleton.
  - \*\* See Dict. No. 63. p. 4508, No. 65. p. 4512, and No. 66. p. 4514.

1743. November 25. GARDEN of Troup against MR THOMAS RIGG.

No. 25.

INDEFINITE receipts of payment interrupt not the prescription of any particular debt; nor a general submission of all claggs and claims, without evidence that the bond in question was claimed; nor a submission where the subscriptions are totally cancelled and torn. (See Dict. No. 442. p. 11274.)