mon author upon his warrandice, to satisfy the distress, that he may continue to possess; and as to the second, they found, that one being infert in principal and warrandice, and in possession of the principal, no posterior right clad with natural possession, can pretend to the benefit of a possessory judgment against them, to force them to reduce, our law making no difference betwixt possession fictione juris, and that which is natural by uplifting of the mails and duties.

Cosford, MS. No. 786. p. 49 1.

1683. January 17.

CANT against AIKMAN.

No 52.

A possessor judgment, was found not competent to a right of property against an annualrent right, being of another nature, compatible with a right of property.

Harcarse, Falconer.

** This case is No 23. p. 10633., & No 39. p. 10643.

1695. January 4.

WALLACE against CAMPBELL.

No 53.

Philiphaugh reported Hugh Wallace of Ingliston contra Sir George Campbell of Cesnock. The Lords found Cesnock, though within year and day of Ingliston, could not claim the benefit of his infeftment, till he paid the expenses of it; and that there was no possessory judgment of a prior apprising to exclude a second, where they were within year and day; but that, before citation or interpellation at the second appriser's instance, the rents uplifted by the first were fructus bona fide percepti, yet so as what he uplifted more than paid his annualrents was to be ascribed in sortem; but after citation, they behoved to communicate the rents proportionally effeiring to their sums, seeing law reputed them tanquam jus individum. See this so decided 15th July 1675, Boyd contra Justice, No 50. p. 10650.

Fountainhall, v. 1. p. 655.

1739. December 21.

Somervil against AITKEN.

No 54.

Where a defender called in an action of mails and duties before an Inferior Court is entitled to a possessory judgment, the inferior judge is judge-competent in that question; and therefore a pursuer of mails and duties, against

No 54.

whom a possessory judgment was pleaded, having advocated his own cause on pretence of the competition of heritable rights, "The cause was remitted."

Kilkerran, (Possessory Judgment.) No 1. p. 413.

1766. January 17. M'Adam against Earl of Galloway.

In an action of mails and duties at the instance of an adjudger, a third party appearing and producing a sasine in the lands, contended, that he had the benefit of a possessory judgment, in virtue of his possession, for more than half a century on that title. Answered, A sasine without its warrant, cannot avail in a competition of real rights. But the Lords sustained the defence, and assoilzied from the process of mails and duties, reserving to the pursuer to insist in a reduction of the defender's rights.

** This case is No 95. p. 2755.; voce Competent.

See BASE INFEFTMENT.

. See Section 8th of the Title COMPETENT.

See Cranston against Wilkison, No 24. p. 4227.; voce FIAR.

See Pollock against Storie, No 51. p. 7216.; voce Irritancy.

See APPENDIX.