1739. February 14. SIDNEY against BAILIE.

WHERE an adjudication was led, upon a decree of conflictution, wherein annualrents were decerned upon promiffory notes, from their dates, though payable only upon demand) the fame was, even in a competition, found relevant, only to reftrict the adjudication to a fecurity, for principal and annualrent.

Kilkerran (ADJUDICATION), No. 4. p. 4.

1739. July 3.

CREDITORS OF Provost CUNNINGHAM against MONTGOMERIE.

Two parties being bound in a bond, containing an obligement to relieve one another, pro rata, one of them paid the debt, took an affignation to the whole, and thereafter a decreet of cognition, against the other party's heir for the one half. But, in the adjudication, which narrated the affignation and decreet of conflitution, the lands were adjudged for payment of the whole fum. In a ranking, the other creditors objected this *pluris petitio*, and infifted to have the adjudication reduced *in totum*. The LORDS confidered this as an innocent mistake, and fuftained the adjudication as a fecurity for the principal fum, and annualrents, truly due, to be accumulated at the date of the adjudication, but without penalties, or even neceffary charges *.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 8.

1743. February 4:

OBJECTED to an adjudication, that, bearing date in July 1706, it decerned for bygone feu-duties, before its date.—The LORDS fulfained the adjudication as a fecurity, for the principal fum and annualrents.

Fol. Dic. v. 3. p. 4 from MS.

* This cafe is thus flated by Kilkerran :--One of two parties, bound in a bond, having paid the debt, and taken affignation to the whole, and a decree, cognitionis caufa, againft the heir of the other for the half; obtained thereupon a decree of adjudication; wherein, though the decree cognitionis caufa, which decerned, as has been faid, only for the half, was, among other grounds of debt, libelled on; yet, in extending the accumulated fum, the whole of this debt was taken in. --This, the other creditors infifted on, in the ranking, as a total nullity; but as it was obvious, that this had been a mere overfight in the writer, the adjudication was fulfained, only as a fecurity for the principal fum, and annualrents, truly due, accumulated at the date of the adjudication, but not even for neceffary charges.

Kilkerran (ADJUDICATION), No 6. p. 4.

No 22.

No 23.

An adjudication reffrict-

ed to a fecu-

rity, on account of a

pluris petitio, pro-

mere overfight.

No 24

ceeding from