Div. I.

No 36. The fubscription of the drawer, held to be an effential requifite. 1738. December 5.

## A. against B.

UPON the verbal report of the Lord Elchies, How far a bill thus conceived was valid? ' Pay to me, John Bell, L. 50 Sterling, value received,' addreffed to and accepted by James Hall; but neither figned by John Bell, nor of his hand-writing :—It was found, ' That the bill being neither figned by the drawer, nor of ' his hand-writing, was null.'

This was not upon the act 1696, concerning blank writs; for the alleged drawer's name being in the body of the bill, obviated that objection; but, on this ground, that a bill is a mutual contract between drawer and acceptor; and in all mutual contracts, both parties muft be bound; and therefore it is a null contract, unlefs the confent of the drawer be interpoled, as well as of the acceptor. And this being the ground on which the decifion proceeded, it was, in the reafoning, agreed, That, as the creditor's name was in the bill, had it either been of his hand-writing, or, if the drawer's name had been adjected, before it had been produced in judgment, it would have been good: In like manner, as a bond duly figned before witneffes, but not fubfcribed by the witneffes before delivery, may, thereafter, be fubfcribed by them, at any time before production in judgment. Whereas, where the objection lies to a bill, upon the act 1696, the defect cannot be fupplied after delivery. [This feems to be the fame cafe with No 37.]

Kilkerran, (Bill of Exchange.) No 3. p. 70.

1738. December 6.

M'RAITH against Murdoch.

A BILL was found null, being neither figned by the alleged drawer, nor of his hand-writing; which was not upon the act of Parliament regarding blank writs; becaufe the alleged drawer's name was on the body of the bill; but, upon this footing, that a bill is a mutual contract; an order, or mandate, by the one party, and an acceptance of the order, or mandate, by the other, which binds the parties mutually, according to the nature of the mandate : And, upon the common principle of contracts, both parties mult be bound, or neither; and fo there can be no obligation, unlefs the confent of the drawer be interpofed, as well as of the acceptor. See This cafe, voce MUTUAL CONTRACT.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 96.

No 38. A bill not figned by the drawer till after the acceptor had become bankrupt, fuftained.

## 1742. November 12. SANDILANDS against DICKSON.

BILLS not figned by the drawer are incomplete deeds, as all other contracts intended to be mutual are, while only fubfcribed by one of the parties. But as other mutual contracts fubfcribed by the one become complete, as foon as the other party fubfcribes; fo the bill-contract, fubfcribed by the acceptor, becomes complete as foon as the drawer adhibits his fubfcription.

No 37. The fubicription of the drawer, an effential requifite.