(Nature and Effect.)

instance be excepted, where the right of redemption is competent to the superior, who already standing inseft as superior, needs not a new insestment as proprietor: And therefore, the reversion here being only a personal obligation upon the proprietor to denude, may be carried by an adjudication without insestment, as well as by assignation.

'THE LORDS found, That Losset's adjudication of the reversion of the wadsetright, was sufficient to carry the same, without necessity of infertment or charge against the superior; and therefore, preserable to posterior adjudications, with a charge against the superior not within year and day.'

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 14. Rem. Dec. v. 1. No 91. p. 179.

1738. December 1. RAMSAY of Wyliecleugh against Brownlee.

FOUND, That an apprifing, and whole fums therein contained, without diftinction between principal fum and annualrents, accumulate fum and annualrents thereof, or acceffories thereto, do belong to the heir, and no part thereof to the executor, notwithstanding the apprifer died within the legal.

The question arose upon the allegation of the reverser, That the apprising was extinguished by the possession of the appriser's heir within the legal, which depended upon this, whether the bygone annualrents, at the appriser's death, belonged to his executors, or to his heir? If to his executors, the apprising was extinguished by the heir's possession, within the legal.

It had been a received notion, that the bygone annualrents, at the apprifer's death, fell to his executors; and there were feveral inftances condescended on, of confirmations of such bygones; and so much was the Court of that opinion; that when this question was first stirred, the President, and he only, spoke of it as a doubtful point. But when the matter came to be more maturely considered, the Court came unanimously into the above decision; as great inconveniences must have arisen from a contrary judgment, and occasion been given to many questions, not dreamed of, concerning estates possessed upon apprisings.

So, upon examining the nature of an apprifing, it was judged to be a proper fale under redemption, whereby the land which descends to the heir, comes in place of the debt, which no more exists as to either principal or annualrents; whereas, were it a pignus pratorium, or legal disposition in security during the legal, (which had been the common notion,) then the debt, still subsisting till expiry of the legal, the appriser dying within the legal, the bygone annualrents of it would fall to his executors.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 13. Kilkerran, (ADJUDICATION.) No. 3. p. 3.

No 5.

No 6. Whether an apprifing be a pignus præ-torium, or a fale under redemption.