HYPOTHEC.

1735. February 20. GARDEN of Troup against DR GREGORY.

HYPOTHEC not pleadable by cautioners for the tack-duty against an arrester, without an assignment, not even though paid by them.

No. 1

1735. December 4.

CREDITORS of M'LELLAN against BURNS and OTHERS.

No. 2.

JOURNEYMEN employed in building and finishing a tenement have no hypothec nor preference for their wages, nor action de in rem verso, and therefore an infeftment for relief of debts preferred to them, though some of the work was after the infeftment.

1736. February 17. NIEL M'VICAR against LADY KIRNAN.

HYPOTHEC of writers in their clients' writs sustained in land rights, but only against their employer. Vide No. 15. infra, where the contrary was found.

No 3.

1736. June 29.

SIR JOHN RUTHERFOORD against Scott of Bonchester.

No. 4.

A MASTER pursuing for his rent one who intromitted with and poinded the tenants' goods; the Lords sustained the defence, that the defender left