THE LORDS sustained the defence for the Magistrates.

No 122.

Reporter, Lord Dun.

Act. Hamilton, sen.

Alt. Yo. Forbes.

Fol. Dic. v. 4. p. 141. Edgar, p. 73.

1733. February Joseph Home against the Keeper of Tolbooth of Edinburgh.

No 123.

WHETHER a prisoner, who offers a cessio bonorum to the jailor, can notwith-standing be detained for the prison dues, or if the jailor is comprehended under the act of grace in common with other creditors, debated, but not determined.
Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 175.

1734. July 18. Hay against the Keeper of the Tolbooth of Edinburgh.

No 124.

A poor prisoner having obtained an act of liberation upon his creditors refusing to aliment him, was notwithstanding detained by the jailor, upon pretence that his fees were not a debt that fell under the act of grace, and that he had a hypotheck upon the prisoner's person for payment of the same; the Lords found, That the jailor must aliment or liberate.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 175.

*** The like found, 3th January 1736, Rattray against Keeper of the Tolbooth of Edinburgh, and 13th December 1737, Hopkins against Cleland.

See Appendix.

1734. July 24.

M'KENZIE against BLAIR.

No 125...

In a question about aliment craved by an indigent prisoner from his creditor, it was objected, That he was already sufficiently alimented, by being on the Exchequer charity-roll for L 15 Sterling yearly. Answered, This is just ertiin as to the creditor, who can plead no jus quæsitum upon that score; and were the prisoner craving to be set at liberty upon a cessio bonorum, it would not include the King's bounty. The defence was repelled. But upon an after-application, 20th November 1734, this interlocutor was altered, and the defence sustained.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 173.

1736. January 27. Thomas Dowie against Crockat.

No 126.

AFTER intimation made to the creditors in terms of the act of grace, if the debtor be arrested in prison by another creditor during the running of the ten