ANNUALRENT.

(Due ex pallo.)

No 21.

he could not affign it to any ftranger, nor apply it to any other use but the fpecific defination of his fecond Lady and children, who did not represent him in it; but on his exercising the faculty, it became a debt, and the children of the fecond marriage creditors for it.——THE LORDS found it personal, and therefore preferred the children to the creditors; though in most cases creditors are more favourable, and that it might open a door to fraud; but the LORDS could not evite the *jus quasitum* that seemed here to arise to the fon of the second marriage.

Then Kinfauns alleged, That, however the Lords had found him liable for the principal fum, yet it could bear no annualrent, which is only due ex lege vel pacto, neither of which took place here; fo that it could never bear annualrent till it were uplifted, or he denounced for payment.—Anfwered, The nature of the faculty and provision imports annualrent; for 20,000 merks being provided for a wife of a fecond marriage and her children, that must neceffarily be under. ftood to be to her in liferent, and to her fon in fee; and a provision even to a baftard daughter was found to bear annualrent, though not mentioned, 25th June 1664, Margaret Inglis contra Inglis, (infra, b. t.)—The Lords found this fum bore annualrent from the first term after the diffolution of the marriage by Kinfaun's death, for the relict's ufe, even as the entered to her other liferent.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 37. Fount. v. 2. p. 59.

No 22. A fum was provided in a contract of marriage, to the huiband and wife in conjunct fee and liferent, and to the heirs in fee; whom failing, to be at the difpofal of the wife. No annualrent being flipulated, found to bear none: and to be heritable, ana exclutive of the jus masiti.

1733. June 16. Miller against Sinclair and Murray.

ONE, in his contract of marriage, bound himfelf to provide a certain fum to himfelf and fpoufe, in conjunct fee and liferent, and to the heirs of the marriage; but, in cafe of his predeceasing without heirs of the marriage, his fpoufe was to have power to difpose of the fum: This event happened, and the queftion occurred, whether the fum bore annualrent, which would entitle her fecond husband to the *jus mariti* only? No annualrent was flipulated in the contract; but it was *argued*, That the provision of liferent was virtually a flipulation for annualrent.——The LORDS found the fum heritable, and that it fell not under the *jus mariti* of the fecond husband.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 37.

1630. July 21. TUTOR of Vallange against DR FORRESTER.

No 23. A pupil's trepfather gave bond to the tutor, to be

UMQUHILE Robert Vallange, burgefs of Glafgow, in his teftament, nominated his fpoufe tutrix to his bairns, and other two friends with her; who also nominate her executrix teftamentar; by virtue whereof, the intromits with his goods and

490