No 83.

of the interlocutor are: "The Lords, on Newton's report, find that the appriser not having insisted in diligence, nor being in cursu diligentia, has there-upon no ground to reduce a posterior voluntary right granted for onerous causes. And find that the voluntary right, not being a gratification to the buyer, but in satisfaction of an anterior debt due to the buyer, it is not reducible upon the act 1621, notwithstanding a posterior comprising was led by another party, and infeftment following thereupon, in respect the first apprising was led diverse years before the second apprising, and no diligence done thereupon." Stair tells us, no voluntary deed can be done after the denunciation of an apprising; intellige unless the appriser be in mora.

Fountainhall, v. 1. p. 129.

1731. January.

BUCKIE against BELL.

No 84.

In a reduction of a voluntary alienation, granted after the subject was adjudged, the adjudger was found to be *in mora*, having lain over seventeen years before the voluntary alienation was made, and thereafter above thirty years before any challenge; and therefore was denied the benefit of the reduction. See Afpendix.

Fol. Dig. v. 1. p. 558.

No 85.

1736. December 8. WALLACE OF CAIRNHILL against BARCLAY.

An adjudication was deduced December 1726, with a charge against the superior February thereafter. In May 1730, the debtor granted an heritable bond, upon which infeftment followed in October thereafter. In a competition betwixt the adjudger and annualrenter, about the mails and duties arising anno 1735, the infeftment of annualrent being the first real right, was challenged as granted in cursu of the adjudger's diligence. Answered, The adjudger was in mora by not taking infeftment. Replied, 1mo, An adjudication with a charge is an effectual diligence, after which there can be no mora. See Stair, Tit. Dispositions, § 20. in fine, and § 23. 2do, An adjudger is not bound to take infeftment during the legal, Stair, Tit. Infeftments of Property, § 30., and therefore during the legal, he cannot be in mora, though he neither take infeftment, nor charge the superior to give him infeftment. The Lords preferred the adjudger.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 558.