

1731. December. DRUMMOND of Gairdrum against ALEXANDER JACKSON.

No 10.

AN adjudication, upon a decret *cognitionis causa*, is effectual, though the heir happened to be served, and could not validly renounce upon being charged to enter heir.

'Tis no objection to an adjudication *cognitionis causa*, deduced before the sheriff, that there was no abbreviate thereof signed by the judge, nor recorded in terms of the articles of regulation 1695; because these articles concern the Session only.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 3.

1753. August 3. TRUSTEES OF MUNGO GRAHAM'S CREDITORS against JOHN HYSLOP.

No 11.

DAVID Viscount of Stormonth, anno 1662, granted an heritable bond for 4000 merks, to John Carmichael, and the heirs therein named, obliging himself to grant infestment, 'in all and sundry his lands, heritages, and others whatsoever, pertaining to him, wherever the same lie in this kingdom, for an annual rent of 240 merks yearly, to be uplifted and taken furth of the readiest mails, profits, and duties thereof, at Whit Sunday and Martinmas, by equal portions.' And the bond contains a precept of sasine, in the same indefinite terms. This bond, upon which infestment never was expedite, was vested, by progress, in Mr Robert Richardson, writer to the signet; who, having died insolvent, Patrick Chalmers, one of his creditors, having charged the apparent heir to enter, brought a process upon the passive titles, before the sheriff of Edinburgh; and, upon the renunciation of the apparent heir, obtained first a decret *cognitionis causa*; and thereafter, October 1701, a decret of adjudication *contra hereditatem jacentem*, in the same court. And, according to the practice of the sheriff-court of Edinburgh, an abbreviate of

An adjudication, *contra hereditatem jacentem*, may be led before the sheriff, if the lands be within his jurisdiction.

your bonds of provision are posterior to my mother's contract matrimonial; by which a specific sum of 12,000 merks is the provision of the bairns of that marriage, of which I am the only child; and the Lords have found, that obligations to bairns of a first marriage, do not hinder a father to do rational deeds, no way immoderate, in favours of a second wife and children of that marriage, as was found 16th June 1676, Catharine Mitchell against the heirs of Thomas Littlejohn: And though you have one tie on the father, viz. his natural obligation to provide his children; yet I have another superadded one, viz. the provision in my mother's contract of marriage; so that I have both a *debitum naturale et civile* on my side; whereas the children of the first marriage, (their mother having no contract) have only the first.—*Replied*, In cognoscing you to be the only child of the marriage, you are found to be heir of provision; so after discussing the other heirs, you are liable *subsidiarie* to warrant your father's deed in our bonds of provision, though posterior to your mother's contract, as was found of late betwixt Sir Patrick and Sir Robert Homes. Some were for bringing the children of the two marriages in *pari passu*; but the case being new, the Lords resolved to hear it argued in their own presence. If Susanna's curators have cognosced her heir of provision, she being still minor, may revoke it, as being to her lesion, if the contract alone will be a good title. (See PROVISIONS to HEIRS and CHILDREN, for the cases above referred to.)