and also the decreet and precept of poinding were sustained, albeit they were done in the Yule vacance; for the precept was dated 26th December, and the same bore the decreet to be dated 24th December; in respect inferior judges used to sit frequently, and minister justice in these times; and it were hard to infringe and annul all their proceedings done in these times; and this was considered, that it tended to purge a spuilzie, which is odious.

No 8.

Act. Johnston.

Alt. Craig.

Clerk, Scot.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 202. Durie, p. 784.

1730. July. Blair against Incorporation of Mary's Chapel.

No 9.

In a competition of creditors, an objection was laid against a decree of furth-coming, that it was pronounced by the Magistrates of Edinburgh against inhabitants of the Canongate, over whom they had no jurisdiction.—The Lords were of opinion, That the Bailies of Edinburgh had no jurisdiction over the inhabitants of the Canongate; yet they sustained the decreet upon use and wont, the Bailies having been in the constant custom of exercising such a jurisdiction; but they concerted an act of sederunt, discharging such jurisdiction in time coming. See Appendix.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 202.

1736. February 17. John Leggat against Ann and Rachel Denoons.

No 10.

In the question betwixt these parties, the Lords found a decreet of furthcoming, obtained before the Bailies of Edinburgh, sitting in Edinburgh, against one of the inhabitants of the Canongate, not subject to their jurisdiction, null; and repelled the answer, That, by constant and immemorial usage, the inhabitants of the Canongate were convened before the Bailies of Edinburgh.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 202. C. Home, No 15. p. 36.

*** Lord Kames reports the same case:

A DECREET recovered before the Magistrates of Edinburgh against an inhabitant of the Canongate, held as confest upon a citation pro confesso, was, after his decease, found intrinsically null, the defender not having been subject to the jurisdiction; and one cannot be considered as contumacious in not answering to a citation before an incompetent judge; extra territorium jus dicenti impune non paretur; and the Lords did not regard the communis error, and constant consuetude of the Magistrates of Edinburgh, exercising a jurisdiction over the inhabitants of the Canongate, which might be sufficient to support di-