No 305.

1728. December 26. M'KENZIE against Town of Burntisland.

A PENSION of 40 merks *per annum* being established by an act of a town-council, in favours of an advocate; in a process for payment, this case was found not to fall under the triennial prescription. See APPENDIX.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 120.

\*\* A similar decision was pronounced February 1730, Lockhart against Duke of Queensberry; see Appendix.

1731. July.

CRAWFORD against SIMPSON.

No 306.

A CONTRACT of indenture to endure for 12 years, in which the master became bound to pay to his apprentice a certain sum yearly, was found to fall under the triennial prescription. See Appendix.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 120.

1736. July 22. MARGARET DOUGLAS against JOHN Duke of ARGYLE.

Anno 1704, Colin Campbell brought a process before the Commissaries of Edinburgh, for cognoscing that he was creditor to the deceased Archibald Duke of Argyle, for four and a half years' wages preceding January 1702, when he left the Duke's service. After advising the proof adduced, the Commissaries decerned for L 90 Sterling; and Margaret Douglas, as assignee to the decreet, brought an action on the passive titles, for payment of the said sum, against John Duke of Argyle.

Among to ther defences pleaded for the Duke, it was argued; That, supposing a paction of agreement for wages had been proved, which did not appear from the proof adduced, yet, by the act 83, Parl. 6. James VI., Colin Campbell could only have right to fees within three years of the citation before the Commissaries, and consequently was entitled to no more than a year and a half's wages, the libel not being raised till the beginning of December 1704.

Answered for Margaret Douglas; That giving, but not granting, the act should be so construed, as to restrict the fees to three years before the citation; yet that must certainly comprehend all the fees that became due within three years backward; and therefore would carry a year immediately preceding the three, for this plain reason, that the prescription can never debar the servant from payment of that fee which became due within three years of the citation, and consequently must comprehend the fee of one year further back than the three; because a servant cannot pursue for the year's fee till first the service is

No 307. Found in conformity with Ross against Master of Salton, No 286. p. 11089. The triennial prescription runs during the annus deliberandi.