BANKRUPT.

Sir P. Home, v. 2. No 715.

1728. December.

Duchess of Buccleuch against Sir JAMES SINCLAIR, and Mr PATRICK Doul.

WILLIAM INNES, factor for the Duchels of Buccleugh, having fallen in confiderable arrear, granted a disposition to her Grace of particular subjects, for her fecurity and payment of the balance. It was objected against this disposition, by the granter's other creditors, that it was virtually a disposition omnium bonorum, though it contained no general clause of all goods and gear; because the debtor's whole effects were therein comprehended. Answered, there is a great difference betwixt dispositions bearing to be omnium bonorum, and a disposition to any particular subject, supposing the granter should not be found to have any other effate; the granter of an universal disposition makes and declares himself bankrupt by the very tenor of the deed, which has the same effect in law quoad the accepter, as if the granter shad been judicially declared banktupt before, or notourly made so by a course of diligence, whereas every true creditor is in bona fide to accept from his debtor, against whom no diligence is done, any of his effects either in fecurity or payment.

No 19. A difpofition of particular fubjects, which in fact comprehended the granter's whole effects, was found effectual to the creditor, as it did not exprefsly bear, or appear, to be omnium bonorum.

This objection was repelled.

The second s

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 67.

1737. February 25. CRAMOND against BRUCE and HENRY.

A debter, against whom no diligence was done, having granted a disposition omnium bonorum, to one of his creditors in fecurity and payment, and another creditor having arrested in the disponee's hands, and in a furthcoming infifted that the disposition was null, and that he was preferable by virtue of his diligence; the LORDS reduced ad bunc effectum, to bring him in pari passifu; and repelled the jus retentionis pleaded for the disponee; for, if the disposition was unlawful, the dispose could have no just title to retain possibility. Fol. Dic. v. r. p. 67.

** The terms of the dilpolition were, ' of the corn crop upon his pofferfion, and all and hail his horfe, nolt, theep, and other goods and gear pertaining and belonging to him.' This was interpreted to be a dilpolition omnium bonorum; no other funds being condeficended on.

5 X 2

No 20. One creditor arrefted in the hands of another who had obtained a difpofition omniam bonorum. They were ranked pari passu on the fund.

2