his reduction and improbation, it will soon appear who has best right. Replied, No 8. I am not bound to debate the import of my brother's right in hoc statu processus, but I'll maintain it in its due time; but for the interim I must be preferred in the possession, because I, by a written mandate to Mr Patrick Middleton, desired him to continue my brother's possession: And as for yours, it is not universal, but only partial as to some of the tenants. 2do, It was clandestine and violent in breaking up the gates of Dalhousie tower. 3tio, It is precarious and momentary, not being yet a full year since you were served heir. And the Roman law provided two remedies to heirs for attaining their possession; the first was in l. ult. C. de edicto Divi Adriani tollendo, where the bæres in testamento institutus is yet in possession, notwithstanding of other competitors; the 2d is the interdictum quorum bonorum, so called from its initial words, where the prætor gives the hares ab intestato the possession; all which favour the heir of line's case. Duplied, It seems very incongruous to put the Lady in possession, who, within a few months, on discussing her right, must just cede it, and give it back again to the Earl. So the interdict uti possidetis ita possideatis must take place here; and her missive letter is neither a factory, nor does it prove the date it bears.—The Lords were somewhat divided; but the plurality seemed to incline to sequestrate the rents during the dependence, and till the event of the Earl's reduction, and so would give neither of them the prerogative of the possession during the interim, till it was known on whose side the right lay.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 357. Fountainhall, v. 2. p. 702.

1727. July 18.

SIR ALEXANDER OGILVY of Forglen against SIR ALEXANDER REID of Barra.

No 9.

The Lords found the apparent heir of the investitures was entitled to continue his predecessor's possession, notwithstanding the defunct, by a personal deed, had made a settlement of his estate to others; for whom it was contended, Though commonly apparent heirs may continue their predecessor's possession, yet where that apparency is cut off, even by a personal deed, all the privileges of it fall to the ground: Accordingly, in similar cases, the Lords have always been in use to give possession secundum tabulas, and there is good ground in equity as well as law, quia frustra petit quod mox est restituturus; which was repelled, in respect that a disposition is no title for possession, except in consequence of infeftment, though containing procuratory and precept; and, in the present case, where there was neither procuratory nor precept, the disposition could import nothing but a mere personal obligation upon the heir of investiture, to denude in favours of the disponee, which was perfectly consistent with the apparent heir's possessing the subject, ay and while he should be obliged to make up his titles and dispone. See Appendix.