on the consignation, the consigned sum could only belong to Dunbeath's heirs, who only could renounce the wadset, and not to his executor; and therefore the defender is not obliged to pay the consigned sum, but may, and doth pass from the order.

THE LORDS found the defender not obliged to re-produce the sum, or to insist in the declarator; and found, that if he did insist, that the sum would fall to Dunbeath's heir, and not to his executor, and that it is not in the case of the price of land due by a contract, not perfected in the disponer's time, which may belong to the disponer's executor, though the disposition must be perfected by his heirs, it being by the disponer's own deed, that takes the price as a moveable sum, and thereby preferring his executor to his heir.

Stair, v. 2. p. 856.

1712. February 27. Scot against Dutchess of Buccleugh.

FOUND that decree did not render an heritable bond moveable, unless a charge had followed on it.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 373. Fountainhall.

\*\*\* See this case No 16. p. 3362.

SECT. XXII.

Effect of Requisition.

1626. March 15. JOHN GRAY against WM GRAHAM.

FOUND, that arrestment may be made upon a bond, bearing the common clause after infeftment, to pay without requisition, both for the principal sum and for the annualrents, after the charge continually to the term of payment.

Kerse, MS. fol. 235.

1630. March 10. DR LINDSAY against Town of Edinburgh.

THE Town of Edinburgh being debtor by an heritable contract, to umquhile Thomas Heriot, in the sum of L. 10,000, to be paid upon requisition at three

NO 123. The Town of Edinburgh became

No 122.

No 121.

No 120.

5569