No 58.

1666, whereas the children's bond and infeftment was in the 1654; and there being two liferent infeftments of annualrent in favours of two ladies, preferable to the creditors, which, with two apprisings for bygones thereof, did near exhaust the fee, and was not quarrellable upon the 1621.

I. THE LORDS, in respect the cautioners before distress had not interest to fecure themselves in the estate, found the see should only come in computo, in quantum it exceeded the liferent and apprisings in the year 1664; and found that the liferents ought to be computed according to the whole time they lasted.

Alleged for the children: That the father had a sufficient estate the time of granting their provisions, viz. a wood worth 10,000 merks, and feveral personal bonds and securities, the time of his granting the bonds of provision; and also an estate in land about Dumfries. It was answered for the creditors, That it was not in the father's power to fecure children's provisions, or posterior gratuitous debts to strangers upon his lands, and leave his anterior creditors, for onerous causes, to seek their payment off the personal estate, which is subject to many accidents by irresponsal debtors, in the bonds, or the father's own escheat, which are not obvious to the notice of creditors, as the case of lands, which is discoverable from the registers; and for that same reason creditors ought not to be left to the cutting of woods, or to discuss controverted titles of lands; and though Moufwell was in possession of lands about Dumfries, his right was but a trust for the behoof of Mr Rome his brother-in-law, whose creditors had apprised it, and were in possession before the 1664; and 'tis just that creditors, in a competition with children, be placed upon the best and surest part of the estate.

Replied for the children:—The father having a sufficient personal estate or goods, the time of granting their provisions, cannot be said to be bankrupt; and they are bound to fay no more in defence of their rights.

2. The Lords found, That the father could not secure the children's provifions by infeftment upon the land estate, and leave the creditors to expiscate and feek for the moveable estate; and found, that the purfuer ought to allege and prove, that Moufwell the debtor, had a valid right to the lands about Dumfries, and was in possession thereof the time of granting the provisions; and that posfession alone was not sufficient.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 69. Harcarse, (Bonds.) No 226. p. 53.

July 20. 1712.

KER against Scot.

In the computation of a separate estate, the Lords refused to recken any heritage which was tailzied with clauses irritant, and which the common debtor could not dispose of for payment of his debts. See the particulars voce Tailzie.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 69.

No 59.