## ADJUDICATION AND APPRISING.

## 1684. February 27. DUNLOP against BROWN.

In the action of reduction, purfued by Dunlop younger, and his lady Antonia Brown, of a difcharge granted to Andrew Lundie, by the faid Dunlop, of his omitions as tutor to the faid Antonia: The Lords found, that Wifhaw having comprised from John Brown, as lawfully charged to enter heir to Sir John Brown, his father, for payment of a debt due by the faid Sir John; had good intereft to allege, that Lundie's comprising was extinct by omiffions, as tutor to John Brown; and, that by the decreet, obtained against John, as lawfully charged to enter heir to Sir John Brown; the debt became John's debt, and he became perfonally liable therefor; and fo Wifhaw might propone compenfation upon the omifions which were due by the tutor to the pupil. But the Lorps found, that Wishaw having comprised or adjudged from Antonia Brown, as heir to her father, Sir John Brown; (after the death of the faid John Brown, her brother); and fhe having reduced the fervice upon minority and lefion'; whereby the comprising was of the nature of adjudications, upon a decree cognitionis cau/a; therefore, Wishaw could not compensate the sums contained in the tutor's comprising with the tutor's omiffions, during the time of Antonia's tutory; in regard, they found the privilege of making the tutor liable for those omiffions, was perfonal to the pupil, and to her affignees; and fo fuftained the difcharge granted by Dunlop, of the faid omiffions, and found that the adjudgers could not quarrel the fame.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 3. Prefident Falconer, p. 59. No. 87.

1696. December 29.

.

MURRAY against LORD SALINE.

In the competition between Murray of Livelands and my Lord Saline, it was found no nullity of an adjudication, that it was not *allowed*, feeing it was after the old form on a decreet *cognitionis caufa*; and only thefe adjudications were to be allowed, which came in place of comprisings, by the act of Parl. 1672. And the Lords refueed here, during the dependence, to fequeftrate the rents and put in a factor; but recommended to the Ordinary, to bring the ranking to a clofe; for one may not be difpoffeffed, unlefs there be great evidences, that his right is either invalid, or fatisfied by partial payments or intromiffions.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 3. Fount. v. 1. p. 748.

## 1709. January 4.

ANDREW KER, Merchant in Edinburgh, against KATHARINE PRIMROSE, Relict of Mr DAVID HERIOT, Advocate.

No 8. Adjudication, upon renunciation, fultained; although ob-

IN a competition, betwixt Andrew Ker and Mrs Heriot, for the mails and duties of fome acres of land in Corftorphine :---THE LORDS fullained an adjudication at her inflance, upon her fen's renunciation, and a decreet *cognitionis caufa*, obtain-

No 7. Found not to be a nullity in an adjudication cognitionis canja, that it had not been allowed.

No 6.

A compriting againft a

minor, as ferved heirto his

predecefior,

found itill to fublift, and to

be of the na-

ture of an adjudication

cognitionis cau-

*fa*, when the minor *excapite* 

minorennitatis is reponed and

renounces.

## ADJUDICATION AND APPRISING.

ed before an inferior judge, within whole jurifdiction these acres lay; albeit it was alleged for Mr Ker, That as no inferior judge can discuss the competition of heritable rights, far less are they competent to adjudge them from the debtor to the creditor. And adjudications, upon renunciations to enter heir, were, long after apprisings were warranted by statute, introduced by the Lords of Session, ex nobili officio, for supplying the defect of our law, which argues, that they cannot be pronounced by inferior judges. (See JURISDICTION.)

Forbes, p. 297.

1709. December 23.

The CREDITORS of the deceased George MARSHALL against JAMES HAMILTON of Pencaitland.

In the competition of the adjudging creditors of George Marshall, it was alleged, that Pencaitland could not come in *pari paffu*, with the reft; because his adjudication, was not within year and day of the first effectual adjudication, obtained at the instance of James Scott, before the sheriffs of Edinburgh, upon a cognitionis causa, against the apparent heir renouncing.

Answered for Pencaitland : He ought to come in equally, because his adjudication is within year and day of the first adjudication before the Lords: And no respect could be had to that before the sheriffs; because it was pronounced, a non fug judice: In fo far as the Lords are only proper judges in adjudications. now fince the twenty-fourth article of the regulations, ordaining all abbreviates of adjudications, to be figned by the Lord Ordinary; efpecially confidering, That the rolls and minute-book do properly publish and certiorate the lieges, when there is a course of diligence against a debtor; and men are not bound to know. nor can know, what is done before inferior judges. 2do, Elto, fuch a decreet of adjudication, before an inferior judge, were fulfained, it cannot be the rule of preference before the Lords, where the form of process requires longer diets, and more days: As in competitions betwixt arrefters, the obtainer of a decreet of forthcoming in the Seffion, if he hath not been, in mora, will be preferred, conform to the date of his arreftment, to a posterior arrefter, obtaining the first decreet before an inferior court. And, if it were otherwife, all adjudications would be led before inferior courts, for fear of coming too late, by the more tedious form . . 1 of the Seffion.

Replied for the other creditors: The act of Parliament 1661, bringing in adjudgers within year and day, pari paffu, muft, as being a correctory law, be firstly interpreted. The act of regulation, concerns only decreets of adjudication before the Lords: For those before theriffs, and their abbreviates, can only be fubfcribed by the theriff, pronouncer thereof, as was done in this cafe. 2do, Whatever may be pretended in the cafe of forthcomings, conftitutions, and the like, before the Lords, requiring delay by the course of the rolls; that cannot be alleged for No g. Adjudications, upon decrees cognitionis caufa, led before the fheriff, require no abbreviate.

47

No 8. tained before

an inferior

judge.