No 142.

and in a fhort time would eat up their own heads, he craved the arrestment to be loosed upon sufficient caution.—The Lords sinding the arrestment was laid on by virtue of a decreet, and the suspension posterior thereto, they could not loose it upon caution; but they sell on this medium, if he would consign the sull sums in the decreet charged on, they would ordain the same to be loosed, especially seeing the suspension would be reponded against the decreet, when the cause came to be discussed. See a singular case recorded by Stair, 16th July 1661, College of St Andrew's, No 128. p. 791.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 59. Fount. v. 2. p. 681.

1705. July 31.

Andrew M'Farlan, Merchant in Edinburgh, against Alexander Cowie.

No 143. An arreftment upon a registered contract was loofed upon caution, the obligation in it being gereral and illiquid.

Andrew Macfarlan and Alexander Cowie having, in September 1704, by a contract of copartnery, mutually obliged themselves to stock in equally in money and goods to a certain value, to be employed in trade for their joint use, and to be equal gainers and losers; and their affairs falling into disorder in December thereafter, so as they were forced to retire to the Abbey for sanctuary: M'Farlan, after they had compounded with their creditors, caused registrate the contract, raised horning thereon, and arrested all Cowie's effects: Which arrestment, though proceeding on a registrate contract, that was a kind of decreet, the Lords allowed to be loosed upon caution; because the charge being general, and for no liquid sum, is of the nature of a depending action.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 59. Forbes, p. 39.

1707. July 18.

MARGARET CRICHTOUN, Relict of Thomas Moffat, against Mr John Borthwick of Cruickstoun.

No 144. An arrestment is essectually loosed, rhough the letters of boosing be not intimated to the arrester.

Thomas Moffat having, as creditor to James Tweedie, in the fum of L. 342, arrested the like sum in the hands of Mr John Borthwick of Cruickstoun, and afterwards disponed the debt and diligence to Margaret Crichtoun, his spouse: She pursued a furthcoming, wherein Cruickstoun alleged, That he ought to be affoilzied, because he had paid conform to letters for loosing the arrestment produced.

Replied for the pursuer:—That if Cruickstoun had paid, he had paid unwarrantably, the letters of loosing never having been executed against the arrester: For the letters bear, 'That intimation be made to the arrester, that the arrestement is loosed, and caution found,' otherwise the arrestment to remain unloosed. The reason of this stile is, because, if the loosing be not intimated, the arrester