(Ex delesio neturali).
by any bend of provifion; and the eftate being opulent, about 9000 merks of yearly rent, it was juft they fhould be alimented; and craved two periods to be fixed; one to 14, and the other from that dge to 21 ; for the firt, 600 merks per annum, was craved to be modified for each of them; and after 14,800 merks.-The Lords remembered, that in the cafe of Jacobina Inglis, daughter to Crammond, in 169 r ,* the Lords would go no farther than her age of 12 ; therefore they allowed 600 merks yearly to each of them; the boys till I4, and the girls till 12.

$$
\text { Eal. Dic. v. 1.p. 32. Fount. v. I. p. } 754
$$

## F7O. July 14.

Patrick M•Dowall, Merchant in Edinburgh, against Mit John Marshali..
In a purfuit before the bailies of Edinburgh, at the inftance of Patrick M-Dowall, againf Mr John Marfhall, for payment of an account of mournings, furnifhed, by his order, to himfelf and his brother and fifters; at their father's death, extending to L.9 96 Scots ; the bailies having found it relevant to make the defender liable, that the account was furnifhed by his order; or that his father delivered to him a fum of money for defraying the expence of the mournings; allbeit the defencer was minor when the goods were furnifhed, and lefed by engaging for them, and had raifed reduction intra annos utiles: The defender brought the caufe before the Lards, by advocation, upon this ground, That the pailies had committed iniquity; in fo far as a minor's interpofing himfelf for others to take off mournings for them is lefion; and it doth not alter the cafe, that he received money for that end from his father; feeing money given to a minor is underftood to be given perdituro, unlefs it appear to have been in rem verfam, peofitably employed, ar that be hath it fill in his hand.

Anfwered : There was no iniquity committed; becaufe, $\mathbf{1}$ mo, Mr Marfhall being at the full fature of a man, and graduate, it was not poffible to know, by his afpect, that he was minor: And his inducing a merchant, by concealing his age, to truit him goods; could not profit him. Befides, he was in confinia majorennitatis, wanting only fome months of being major at the time. $2 d 0$, He having taken off the mournings, the merchant was not bound to know whether he was to employ them for the younger children in familia with him, or for himfelf; no more than if he had taken off a fuit of clothes to himfelf, and another tohis fervant; which could never have been interpreted lefion, though there wereno obligation upon him to cloath his fervant in blacks. And, 3 tio, Albeit the: pretence that money given to a minor is given perdituro, may hold in the general; yet there feems to be a fpecialty in this cafe, where the money was given by a dying father to his eldeft fon, to furnifh mournings to himelf and the younger. no tutors, their relations, among xvhom they were diftributed, were found adequate to receive the money and difcharge the heir.
children, partly taken off before the burial, and partly within a day or two after, while the money could not poffibly be mifapplied.

Replied: The allegeance, that the minor, by his afpect, looked to be major, deferves no anfwer. Nor was it ever fuftained that a minor was in dolo for concealing his age, and not telling that he was minor ; the legal exception being $/ \hat{\imath}$ majorem fe dixerit, and not $\sqrt{2}$ minorem non dixerit. And it cannot be termed dole in a minor, not to tell that he was under age, when fuch a queftion was never put to him. 2do, It is contended, that one who deals with a minor, and furnifhes him goods, is in law obliged to know for what and for whofe ufe they are, otherwife he trufts upon his peril.

The Lords repelled the reafon of advocation, in refpect of the anfwers; and found the minor liable, though the furnifhing was not made to himfelf, but to his brothers and fifters; and therefore remitted the caufe. (See Minor.)

Fol. Dic. v. r.p. 32. Forbes, p. 26.

17II. February 2. Someryiells against L. Drum.
The deceafed James Somervell of Drum, having left five children, befides the heir, and none of them provided, in refpect of his fudden death; and being all young, they purfue their elder brother, who fucceeded to the eftate, not indeed for portions, (for that our law has not yet allowed) but for an aliment till their majority ; for, where an heir fucceeds to a plentiful eftate, the Lords have burdened him with alimenting his brethren and fifters ex obligatione juris naturalis, 24th January 1663, Edgars againft their eldeft Brother, No 54. ; and roth November 1671, Hafty No 53. ; which continues till they be bred up in an employment; or, if mean people, to go to fervice; but cannot exceed the expiring of their minority.

The Lords having taken a fummar cognition of the value of the eftate, and burdens affecting it, they found it betwixt 4 and 5000 merks by year, with fome. debt; and therefore modified only 1500 merks of aliment yearly for all the five; but did not allow every one of them equally, but according to their ages, lefs till they came to ten or twelve years old, and then more; as they did in Jacobina Inglis's aliment againft Sir John Inglis of Cramond, her brother.* But the difficulty arofe who fhould uplift it, and give the heir a valid difcharge. They reprefented, that for them to take out a gift of tutory from the Exchequer, would exhauft a great part of their aliment ; neither would any be tutors, having nothing to manage but this fmall modification. Therefore, an expedient was offered, that the friends of the father's and mother's fide had concerted amongft them, to divide the children, and each of them to take one into their family; and they were willing to difcharge the heir on his paying their feveral proportions; and to give bond to apply it to their aliment and education, and to hold count for the fame at their refpective majorities; their defign being to fpare as much of their
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