1703. November 17. GRAY and SOMERVEL against ABERCROMBIE.

MARGARET ABERCROMBIE, relict of Alexander Gray, as tutor-dative to Katharine Gray, her daughter, buys from Mr William Abercrombie, minister, her brother, a house in Edinburgh; whereof the narrative runs in these terms: 'Foraf-• muchas the faid Margaret Abercrombie had, for herfelf, and in name and be-' half of Katharine Gray, her daughter, made payment of a certain fum of money ' to the faid Mr William;' and, in the receipt and exonerating part, he discharges the faid Margaret and her daughter, and their heirs and executors; and therefore dispones the tenement to the said Margaret Abercrombie, she being in life, and failing of her, to the faid Katharine Gray, and the heirs of her body; whilk failing, to return to the faid Margaret Abercrombie, her heirs and affignees whatsomever; whereupon they are infeft. Margaret Abercrombie marries Nicol Somervel for her fecond husband, who becomes curator to the faid Katharine Gray, his flepdaughter; and she dying in her minority, by her testament, nominates her mother her fole executor and universal legatar. Last of all, Margaret the mother dying, she conveys, by disposition, any right she had to that tenement in favour of the faid Nicol. Anna Gray, coufin to the foresaid Katharine, and her nearest of kin, serves heir to her, and quarrelling Nicol Somervel's right, he transacts with the said heir, and buys in her right. Mr William Abercrombie finding his fifter infeft in the tenement, he ferves heir to her by hefp and staple, and then raifes a reduction ex capite lecti of Nicol's right; whereupon he, in name of Anna Gray his cedent, intents a declarator against the said Mr William, to hear and fee it found and declared, that the faid dwelling-house was bought by the mother, tutrix to her daughter, with her faid pupil's money, and the fubflitution unwarrantably made to the tutrix her heirs, failing the heirs of her pupil's body, contrary to law, and the trust reposed in tutors, by which their deeds accresce to their pupils, and cannot be misapplied to their own benefit and profit; it being contrary to the faithfulness of tutors to substitute themselves to their pupils, and thereby defraud their pupil's heirs, and divert the natural channel of fuccession to their own advantage, and the prejudice of these heirs, who were alioqui successuri; and therefore concluded, that the faid Mr William might be decerned to denude himself of the right of the said tenement in favour of Anna Gray, and Nicol Somervel her affignee. Alleged, 1mo, Anna Gray, Katharine's heir, founds on the disposition made to the said Katharine and her mother; ergo the cannot quarrel the substitution contained in gremio of that same disposition; for you cannot approbate and reprobate the same writ, nor-accept it pro parte, and repudiate it pro reliquo. Answered, This brocard of law does not hold in the case of minors; for if tutors acquire real rights to them, and clog them with unjust and undue substitutions, they may get the substitution by which they and their heirs are lesed to be declared null, and yet still claim the benefit of the realright.—The Lords repelled the first allegeance, in respect of the answer. 2do, Alleged for the defender, That Nicol Somervel could never quarrel the faid difpo-

4 H.

Vol. II.

No 4. If tutors acquire real rights in favours of theirpupils, and clog them with unjust and undue fubstitutions... the pupils may get the fabilitations by which they or their heirs may be lefed, declared null, and yet have the benefit of the real right.- No 4.

fition, nor Margaret Abercrombie's, because he had homologate the same, by accepting of a right from the faid Margaret. Answered, He was making no use of the right he had from the faid Margaret, his wife, but allenarly founded on Anna Gray the heir's right.—I'HE LORDS found no homologation in the case. 3tio, Alleged, Money being a fungible; fo that if any buy a house with another person's money, and take the right in his own name, he becomes fiar and proprietor of the purchase; and the owner of the money, with which it was bought, has no direct action for declaring the land to be his, as surrogatum in place of his money, but has only a personal action for repetition of his money: And goods bought are not hypothecate for the price, as was found, 24th January 1672*, and 14th June 1676+; and our law has not adopted that privilege given to minors in the civil law, whereby they affected their tutors goods, especially where their mother and her fecond husband were the tutors. Answered, It is very true, if tutors, or any other person intrusted (such as factors, mandatars, &c.) acquire rights in their own name, though with their pupil's or constituent's means; yet if the transmiffion be fimple and absolute, without bearing any relation to their pupils, the real right becomes fo in their person, that the other has only an action against him; but here the right taken by Margaret Abercrombie to the house, both in the narrative and dispositive clause, shews, it was the pupil's money, and acquired for her use and behoof: And which is fortified by the mother's count book, all wrote with her own hand, bearing, what particular fums of her daughter's she lifted to pay the price.—The Lords repelled the third allegeance, in respect of the answer. The fourth defence was, That he, as heir to his fifter, cannot denude; because the money uplifted to buy the house was moveable, and the pupil, in her testament. had made her mother her universal legatrix, which made her both debtor and creditor confusione, and being legatum liberationis, was equivalent to a discharge of her tutor-counts. Answered, Sundry of the fums uplifted were heritable, excluding executors; but, however, a house being purchased with the pupil's money, the minor could neither by testament nor legacy dispose on that heritable right, nor the pupil's heir be debarred from claiming the house.—The Lords found it would be relevant, if the had counted for the pupil's whole means, and was difcharged, or if she had bought this house with her own money, and held count for all her daughter's means, over and above; that any of these two did elide the prefumption of its being purchased with the pupil's money; but found the being nominate universal legatrix did not amount to a discharge: And finding it proven, by the tenor of the disposition, and her count-book, that it was her pupil's money, therefore they declared in Anna Gray and Nicol Somervel's favour, and decerned Mr William, as heir to his fifter, to denude; and refused to take in the actio tutelæ contraria in this place to flop the declarator, but prejudice of infifting, as accords, against the said Nicol to clear his curator-accounts.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 48. Fountainhall, v. 2. p. 189.

^{*} The case alluded to is Boylston against Robertson and Fleming; Stair, v. 2. p. 54, voce Surrogatum.

[†] The case meant is Cushnie against Christie; Stair, v. 2. p. 425. voce Hypothec.