6706

SECT. 5.

No 129. merchant in Edinburgh, the LORDS sustained a decreet of transumpt of a bond proceeding upon citation against the debtor, to satisfy the production, albeit the citation was only given at the market-cross of Edinburgh, pier and shore of Leith, the debtor having his residence out of the country.

Sir P. Home, MS. v. 3.

1702. July 7. SIR ROBERT HOME against SIR PATRICK HOME.

SIR Robert Home of Renton, pursuing a reduction and improbation against Sir Patrick Home, Advocate, and Home of Kames, of a decreet they had obtained against his father; and the decreet itself being produced, but certification craved against the grounds and warrants of it, the question arose, Who ought to be burdened with the production of these? It was contended, The pursuer ought to search for them, and either produce them, or else produce a testificate from the clerks that they were not to be found among the records. On the other hand, it was alleged, That the defender being obliged to support his own decreet, he was more concerned to search for them than the pursuer. for his decreet would fall if they were amissing, and the pursuer would not then be anxious to recover them, but rather have out his certification against them ; and therefore it was the defender's interest rather to take a diligence for seeking the warrants of his own decreet. And accordingly the Lords, in this case. burdened the defender with production of them, and granted him a diligence for recovery of the same. See Stair, Instit. lib. 4. tit 20. § 21. where he mentions the production, but does not tell by whom they should be produced. save that of writs registrate in the books of inferior courts, he thinks the defender ought to be burdened with the producing them. But that case differs from this in hand, of the grounds and warrants of a decreet of session; and it seems more equitable that he be at the expense of extracting the diligence, and the trouble of searching, rather than the pursuer.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 448. Fountainhall, v. 2. p. 153.

No 131.

1704. December 28. WILLIAM WILSON against LORD SALINE.

WILSON, as an appriser of some lands from Alexander Short in Stirling, pursues a reduction and improbation of a disposition of these lands made by Short to Lord Saline, dated in 1662, and registrate the year thereafter; and a condescendence being given in of the date of its registration, search is made for the same amongst the warrants in the lower Parliament house; and not being found, nor any vestige of it in either minute-book, register, *licet* book, or other record, the Lord Register gives a declaration, that, after a most diligent scrutiny

The defender in an improbation produced an extract of a deed under the hand of the Lord Register. Certification was granted, be-

NO I 30. In an improbation of a decree of the Court of Session, the defender must produce the warrants, and it is not sufficient to say they are in public custody.