No g.

(Pass periculo petentis.)

November 1666, Chein, (No 7. b. t.); 13th January 1672, Master of Salton, (See Heir Portioner); 22d July 1664, Livingston, (No 6. h. t.); and lately a defence of prescription, (which is the very case in hand,) was repelled betwixt Thomson and Archibald.—Replied, 'Tis very true, the Lords will not stop adjudications on every allegeance, where the purfuer is a true creditor, and the apparent heir's contingency in blood is notour, and there is a general fame that land once belonged to their family; but where none of these appear, and where there is no striving for diligence, but 'tis the first adjudication, and so no periculum in mora, the Lords will not eafily pass such adjudications; and my Lord Stair, part 2. tit. 2. thinks, in fuch cases, some evidence should be given of the interest in the land.—The Lords found, Where adjudications are fought on apparent heirs bonds, and there is no notoriety of their predecessors having been heritors of that land, and that there is no concourse of creditors striving for diligence, there ought to be no decreet of adjudication, till they give some document that they once had right to the land craved to be adjudged, by a fafine, or fome other evident, and that he had a contingency in blood. (See IMPROBATION.)

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 12. Fount. v. 2. p. 41.

1700. June 21.

LORD ARCHIEALD HAMILTON, and Sir James Oswald, against Sir Charles Murray of Hadden.

LORD ARCHIBALD, as creditor of Hadden, railes an adjudication of his lands for L. 300 Sterling. At calling, Sir Gilbert Elliot of Stobs compears, and alleges, there can be no adjudication, because Hadden was denuded of these lands in my favours, by an irredeemable disposition, whereon I am publicly infest under the great feal; so you cannot adjudge my lands for Hadden's debt.—Answered, I will not debate your right boc loce, though it be but recent within these two or three years, and from a father-in-law to his goodfon, and so liable to much sufpicion; my fummary process of adjudication cannot be stopped boc ordine, but I mult be allowed to go on, referving your defences and right contra executionem, when I come to feek possession, or pursue for mails and duties; and that the Lords decided to 23d November 1664, Livington contra Lord Forrester and Creditors of Grange, (No 6. h. t.), where the Lords adjudged, though it was inftructed by a back-bond, that the debtor's right was only a truft; only, they qualified it to be burdened with the back bond. Yea, on the 15th November 1666, Cheyne contra Christie, (No 7. b. t.), they adjudged simply.—Replied, If I were delaying, then it were unreasonable to stop the adjudication; but I offer instanter to produce all my papers in the reduction, and to instruct my undoubted right to the lands, and debate preference; and whether my right be recent or old, non refert, seeing I can instruct its onerous cause, though inter conjunctos, et

No 10. An offer to instruct infanter, that the : debtor was denuded, and that the party fo offering was himfelf proprietor; refused to be received fummarly, as an exception. The adjudication passed, referving all defences. contra executionem.

(Pass periculo petentis.)

No 10.

frustra sit per plura, and only accumulates expences, and forces all Hadden's other creditors to adjudge: And you have no prejudice, feeing there is none be-The Lords confidered it was hard to put creditors to defore on this subject. bate in initio their authors or debtors right, which might be abstracted or concealed; and therefore they may adjudge at their peril, whatever they suppose belong to their debtor; and afterwards, if you have a right exclusive of mine, if I by incident diligences cannot recover what may elide it, then you'll be preferred. Others thought it extravagant to let him adjudge other men's lands, under the pretence of being his debtors; though it is an usual practice, where lands have been fold off many years ago by my predecessor; yet I the apparent heir will grant a bond, whereon adjudication may follow against me, and thereon I may call for reduction of these ancient rights; only, in Inverebry and Forbes of Tulloch's case, against Ballogie and others, 7th February 1699, (No 9. b. t.) the Lords found he behoved to adduce some adminicles of his contingency in blood. and of his predecessors being heritors of that land, being in re antiqua. Lords here refused to take in the reduction fammarly, especially Stobs being minor, and therefore adjudged, referving all Stobs's defences contra executionem.

Reporter, Mersington.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 12. Fount. v. 2. p. 98.

1707. March 19.

Thomas Buchanan of Sandfide, against The Marquiss of Montrose.

No 11. **Adjudication** is led on an apparent heir's bond. A third party offers inflantly to show, that the heir's interest in the estate is excluded. The adjudication passes, referving that party's interest contra executionem.

Thomas Buchanan having obtained a decreet of adjudication of the estate of Buchanan, upon a bond granted by Janet Buchanan of Leny, apparent heir to the deceast Laird of Buchanan; the Marquis of Montrose craved it might be stopped, in respect he was content instantly to debate and exclude the apparent heir's interest in that estate.

Answered for Sandfide, 'Tis a novelty to stop a decreet of adjudication at the instance of a third party upon pretence of excluding the debtor's right: For an adjudication is the only title whereby the creditors of an apparent heir can quarrel third parties pretences, or force a production of their rights. Yea, the debtor himself has not been allowed to propone defences to exclude adjudication, and hinder completing of the diligence: Much less can any third party pretend interest; and if it were otherways, it would be very inconvenient to creditors whose diligences are preserved according to dates.

THE LORDS allowed the adjudication to go out, referving the Marquis's interest contra executionem.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 12. Forbes, p. 156.