1679. December 23.

GRIEVE, Tenant to Johnston of Westeraw, Supplicant, against —.

THE Laird of Westeraw having taken a decreet against Grieve, his tenant in his own Court, for Green-Wood, and arrested thereupon: The tenant desires the arrestment to be loosed upon caution, whereupon it occurred to the Lords, to consider the common privilege, by the stile of loosing arrestments, which bears an exception, providing it be not on a decreet, and found that it extended to Barons decreets; and therefore resulted the bill.

No 138. Arreftment upon a baron bailie's decree, is not loofeable upon caution.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 59. Stair, v. 2. p. 727.

1681. November.

GARDINER against GARDINER.

ARRESTMENT used upon a decreet, after the passing of a bill of suspension thereof, but before the suspension was intimated or signeted, found looseable upon caution, after expeding of the suspension at the signet.

No 139.

Harcarse, (ARRESTMENT.) No 74. p. 14.

1685. February.

ALEXANDER FORBES against John Herburn.

ONE having registrate a contract, and charged thereon the other party to fulfil in the haill heads and clauses, &c. and also used arrestment: The Lords found the arrestment looseable on caution, though laid on by virtue of a decreet of registration.

No 140.

Harcarse, (ARRESTMENT.) No 88. p. 17.

1685. March 31.

LADY KETTLESTON against John Hay.

Arrestment upon a decreet appointed to be loofed upon juratory caution, when the decreet was turned into a libel.

No 141.

Harcarse, (Arrestment.) No 86. p. 17.

1695. November 28.

Archibald Stuart against John Marshall.

ARCHIBALD STUART, younger of Blackhall, by petition, complained, John Marshall, apothecary in Glasgow, having stolen out a decreet against him for L. 250, as the price of drugs, holding him confessed, and thereon had arrested his horses; whereof he had obtained suspension; but the charger either delayed to insist, or would offer to prove his libel by witnesses; and seeing the horses were detained,

No 142. Arrestment on a decree in absence, found not looseable on a caution. No 142.

and in a fhort time would eat up their own heads, he craved the arrestment to be loosed upon sufficient caution.—The Lords sinding the arrestment was laid on by virtue of a decreet, and the suspension posterior thereto, they could not loose it upon caution; but they sell on this medium, if he would consign the sull sums in the decreet charged on, they would ordain the same to be loosed, especially seeing the suspension would be reponded against the decreet, when the cause came to be discussed. See a singular case recorded by Stair, 16th July 1661, College of St Andrew's, No 123. p. 791.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 59. Fount. v. 2. p. 681.

1705. July 31.

Andrew M'Farlan, Merchant in Edinburgh, against Alexander Cowie.

No 143. An arreftment upon a registered contract was loosed upon caution, the obligation in it being gereral and illiquid.

Andrew Macfarlan and Alexander Cowie having, in September 1704, by a contract of copartnery, mutually obliged themselves to stock in equally in money and goods to a certain value, to be employed in trade for their joint use, and to be equal gainers and losers; and their affairs falling into disorder in December thereafter, so as they were forced to retire to the Abbey for sanctuary: M'Farlan, after they had compounded with their creditors, caused registrate the contract, raised horning thereon, and arrested all Cowie's effects: Which arrestment, though proceeding on a registrate contract, that was a kind of decreet, the Lords allowed to be loosed upon caution; because the charge being general, and for no liquid sum, is of the nature of a depending action.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 59. Forbes, p. 39.

1707. July 18.

MARGARET CRICHTOUN, Relict of Thomas Moffat, against Mr John Borthwick of Cruickstoun.

No 144. An arreftment is effectually loofed, though the letters of loofing be not intimated to the arrefter.

Thomas Moffat having, as creditor to James Tweedie, in the fum of L. 342, arrested the like sum in the hands of Mr John Borthwick of Cruickstoun, and afterwards disponed the debt and diligence to Margaret Crichtoun, his spouse: She pursued a furthcoming, wherein Cruickstoun alleged, That he ought to be assolized, because he had paid conform to letters for loosing the arrestment produced.

Replied for the pursuer:—That if Cruickstoun had paid, he had paid unwarrantably, the letters of loosing never having been executed against the arrester: For the letters bear, 'That intimation be made to the arrester, that the arrestement is loosed, and caution found,' otherwise the arrestment to remain unloosed. The reason of this stile is, because, if the loosing be not intimated, the arrester