ADVOCATE.

process begun, (feeing res no eff integra, et mandatum in tali cafu morte mandatoris non ceffat ;) and that he has a rational interest to see that what his dead client was wronged in be rectified, lest the fault should asterwards be charged on him; and as the law, § 13. instit. de obligat. quæ ex delicto gives a commodatarius an interest rem vindicare and to profecute actions, though he be not rei dominus; even so in an advocate.—But quæritur, if he may propone new allegeances not founded on in the defunct's time, or quarrel an act of litiscontestation extracted long before his death; and if he do it, if he ought not periculum alienæ litis fuscipere et subject, and be liable as if he were the principal client? This interlocutor was adhered to, upon the 13th March 1681.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 25. Fountainhall, v. 1. p. 260.

1693. February 10. EARL of MELVILL against EARL of PERTH.

THE LORDS having called the action, purfued by the Earl of Melvill againft the Earl of Perth, for reftoring the composition he received for his forfeiture.—— THE LORDS found Mr John Menzies, advocate for Perth, his fervant's promife to enrol that caufe, and not being done, by his mafter's difcharging him, was equivalent *fictione juris* to an enrolling, feeing he was *in dolo* to conceal the not enrolment, and should have difcovered it the Earl of Melvill's advocate, that they might not rely on his promife : But the 11th and 12th articles of the act of regulations 1672, being urged, that the Lords could not anticipate caufes before they came in by the courfe of the roll, and difcharging clerks to write on thefe proceffes; the Lords would not go over the act of Parliament, nor force the Earl of Perth to answer *boc ordine* : But, in regard to fraudulent dealing, they fined Mr John Menzies, the advocate, in five pounds Sterling to the poor ; and James Callander, his man, was debarred the Seffion-house, and committed to prison during the Lords pleasure.

1693. December 7. In the cafe Melvill against Perth, the LORDS repelled Perth's dilator, that Melvill, the purfuer, was out of the kingdom, and there was no factory from him, feeing he was here at the first intenting, and calling of the process; and a mandate was only requisite for strangers, or such as were absent animo remanendi.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 25. Fountainball, v. 1. p. 558. 576.

1694. June 21.

FACULTY of ADVOCATES against The MACERS.

The debate between the Faculty of Advocates and the Macers, viz. who of them had the right of keeping the lawyers bar, was heard. On Banantyne's death, the advocates elected James Dalrymple. The macers, by a bill, reclaim-Y y 2

No 22. The right to appoint a door-keeper in the Paliament House.

No 21. An advocate fined for the malversation of his clerk.

If the purfuet was in the kingdom when the procefs commenced, his advocate may continue his appearance without a fpecial mandate, though he occafionally be out of the kingdom.

No 20.