mot reducible by anterior creditors, because their father had a sufficient sortune for these portions, and all his debts.—It was replied, That bairns provisions were debitum naturale; but this was an oye whose mother was provided; and that bonds or debts were no visible estate; and it were more just that the acquirers by gratuitous dispositions should be put to seek the disponers upon their warrandice, than that creditors should be fraustrate.

THE LORDS found the defence against the reduction relevant, That Houston, the first disponer, had a visible estate, sufficient for all his debts the time he disponed, whether by infestments, moveables, or bonds.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 68. Stair, v. 2. p. 795.

1681. December.

M'KELL against Callender.

No 48. Found as above.

No:47.

In an action of reduction at the instance of Lodovick Callender against Gilbert M'Kell, of a disposition of a tenement of land in Leith, made by John Houston merchant in Leith, to—— Houston his oye; the right whereof came in the person of Gibert M'Kell: And the reason of reduction being sounded on the act of Parliament 1621, as being fraudulent and interconjunctas personas, without an onerous cause, in prejudice of Callender, who was a lawful creditor to Houston, granter of the disposition.——The Lords sound these allegeances relevant to elid the reason of reduction, That Houston the grandsather had sufficient estate over and above the tenement disponed, able to pay his debt, or that the disposition to the oye, of the tenement, was for an equivalent onerous cause.

Sir P. Home, MS. v. 1. No 62.

x687. February,

SHEWEL against AUCHTERLONY.

No 49

DEBATED, if gratification by a debtor not bankrupt, but oberatus, and under diligence by horning at a creditor's instance, could be sustained, where the debtor had a sufficient estate, to pay all his debts, after the gratification, as is sustained against the reason, sounded on the first part of the act 1621. In Lanton's case, (see No 9, p. 884. et infra in Div. 2. Sec. 5.) oberatus was found sufficient.

Harcarse, (ALIENATION.) No 149. 2.

1710. December 7.

DEAS against Fullerton.

The deceased Captain Fullerton having some arrears owing him in the commissioners of the equivalent's hands, and being debtor to Mr James Deas writer, in L. 30 Sterling, he confirms himself executor-creditor to him for affecting that sum; and pursuing for payment, they suspend on double poinding, that they are likewise distressed by John Fullerton of Auchinhall, claiming right to the same debt by virtue of an affignation he had thereto from the Captain, and duly

No 50. A gratuitous affignation to a conjuct perfon, a few days before the granter's death, was reduced at the instance of a