2270

No 23. wife in a sufficient jointure to a certain extent, was found not to have fulfilled the obligation, by securing her in bouses, which require repairs, and are liable to be burnt.

No 24.

tract of marriage to se-

cure his wife

in well bolden lands, was

found to have implemented

the obliga-

tion, by infefting her

liable to re-

count of par-

attending the case.

ticular circumstances

cognition, only on ac-

in ward lands, which are

ing bound in his consome tenements and houses in Edinburgh, and provided the same to her in liferent; the Lords found that was not a sufficient equivalent provision to answer the obligement in the contract, because of the accidents of fire, and the considerable reparations that houses are subject to; and therefore decerned against the husband's heir to fulfil the obligement in the wife's contract of marriage; and ordained her to renounce any right to the tenements. Here there was a clause in the contract, That the fund for the wife's jointure should be employed with her father's consent, which was not done.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 146. Harcarse, (Contracts of Marriage.) No 371. p. 95.

A person be-

1685. November.

LADY KIRKLAND and HER SPOUSE against Her Son.

A man being obliged, in his contract of marriage, to employ 20,000 merks upon well holden land to his wife in liferent, and to the heirs of the marriage in fee, and execution to pass at her father's instance, who being debtor to the husband in as much as with the tocher made 20,000 merks, gave infeftment out of his own ward-lands for the same, in the terms of the contract; the wife, after her husband's decease, pursued his heir for implement of the contract, in respect her father's lands held ward, and were in danger of recognition, and her husband could not collude with him to her prejudice.

Answered: She being infeft before the marriage by her own father, who might have stopt the marriage, and at whose instance execution was ordained to pass: it must be supposed, that all parties agreed to the implementing of the contract. by a security out of the father's lands.

THE LORDS, in this circumstantiate case, found the infeftment out of the wardlands sufficient, unless recognition be incurred.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 146. Harcarse, (Contracts of Marriage.) No 376. p. 97.

1724. December 2.

JAMES GRAY, Writer to the Signet, Assignee of MARGARET MATHISON, against WILLIAM HUTTON, Assignee of PATRICK THOMSON.

No 25. A father, as burden-taker for his son, a minor, became an obligant in his son's contract of marriage. The son was taken bound to lay out a

THOMAS WHITE, eldest son to Thomas White, indweller in Leith, entered into a marriage contract with Margaret Mathison, in which the father was consenter and burden-taker for his son, and became obliged to pay to him 3000 merks; which, with 2000 merks brought as tocher with Margaret Mathison. Thomas the son obliged himself to ware, bestow and employ upon land, or good security for interest, for himself and his wife, for her liferent use allenarly, and the bairns of the marriage in fee.