supervenient law, but from the ancient laws of the kingdom, whereby church-lands are naturally liable to be designed for glebes.

The Lords decerned in the declarator of eviction.
Harcarse, No. 1014. p. 288.
*** P. Falconer's report of this case is No. 50. p. 9099. voce Minor non tenetur, \& C.

## 1683. March.

Mr. Nathaniel Fyfe against White, Deacon of the Shoemakers in Perth.
One having, for onerous causes, assigned a sum contained in a bond, and disponed an apprising that had followed thereon, with absolute warrandice, and pursued the receiver for the sum agreed to be given for the disposition and assignation.

Alleged for the defender: That the apprising was not a profitable right, the worth of the lands apprised being exhausted by preferable rights and diligences.

Answered : The warrandice, though absolute, can import no more, save that debitum subest.

Replied: Though absolute warrandice in the transmission of nomina debitorum imports only debitum subesse, yet it operates a full security and responsableness of the debtor, when rights and diligences of lands are disponed.

The Lords sustained the reply.
Harcarse, No. 1015. f. 288.
1683. November: White against Mr. Nathaniel Fyfe.

The above cause being again called, and it appearing from the assignation proconsequentially, and that the apprised lands were not disponed, and that the clause of warrandice, though in the terms of absolute, did not warrant the apprising in special, but only the assignation in general. The Lords found, that the warrandice did import only debitum subesse.

It was informed, That the defender got a great ease of the apprising. It may be debated, That though the apprising, which is but a diligence, had been principally disponed, the warrandice should import no more ; though aliter if the lands be disponed principally, and all the diligences in consequentiam.

Harcarse, No. 1016. j. 288.
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