No 2. which canmot afford so much as put them in the quality of the meanest trades. men or laboure's; but, notwithstanding, it was so carried in this, and insiuuated by some, that it ought to be a leading case.

$$
\text { Gosford, MS. No 972.p. } 654 .
$$

## 1682, February. Sir John Clerk of Pennycook contra His Sisters and Mr David Forbes.

No 3. 'Found that when a sum is provided to children in a contract of marriage, if any be born and die in the father's lifetime, before getting of a bond of provision, the destination will not fall in their executry, nor can it be claimed by $\rho$ other bairns.

$$
\text { Fol. Dic. v. .1. p. } 423 . \quad \text { Harcarse, (Bonds.) No 175. p. } 38 .
$$

1686. Nov. 25. Kelso contra MCuby of Knokdolian.

Fergus M•Cuby having, by his bond, obliged himself to pay 10.000 merks to his nephew by a second brother deceased, at his age of twenty-one years, and to aliment him in the mean time, the creditor left 2000 merks, by way of legacy, to his mother's relations, and died before he was twenty-one years old; and the legators having pursued for payment, it was alleged for the defender, The words, at the age of twenty-one years, are a part of the obligation-clause, and a condition which did not exist ; and this sense on it may be the more easily ad. mitted, seeing the bund bears love and favour.
$2 d 0$, The bond is conceived in favour of the defunct personally, and not to heirs and executors. .

Answered, That the clause imports not a conditional obligement, but only a delay of payment; and although the bond bears love and favour, it obliges the creditor to renounce all interest he could claim by the death of his father or grandfather, which makes it unerous. 2do, Assignees not being excluded by any taxative clause, the bond was assiguable, and also might be legated.

The Lords found the borid to be pure, and not conditional, and decerned the defender to pay the legacies, the legatars securing him pro tanto against the defunct's nearest of kin, which was the quality of the bond._This appears different from former decisions.

Fol. Dic. v. 1.p.424. Harcarse, (Bonds.) No 2 10.p. 47.

