EXECUTION.

DIV. 4.

No 147: Queen Mary, Parliament 6, cap. 33. But the common stile of executions of denunciations mentions the delivery of copies to such as are personally apprehended.

Harcarse (Comprisings) No 268, p. 63.

1682. February. Mr JAMES CUNNINGHAME against M'LEOD and HAMILTON.

No 148.

A MESSENGER'S execution of arrestment, bearing that the letters were duly and lawfully executed, but not that a copy was left, found null and informal, and second arrester preferred, albeit the said first arrestment mentioned that the person against whom it was executed, was personally apprehended.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 270. Harcarse (ARRESTMENT) No 77, p. 14.

1709. July 30. CROMBY against ROBERTSON.

JOHN CROMBY, sheriff-clerk of Roxburgh, pursues a reduction against James Robertson chirurgeon in Jedburgh, for reducing a disposition of some lands made to him by one Scougal ex capite inhibitionis; against which Robertson excepted, that the inhibition was null, because, though the execution bore personally apprehended, yet it did not mention that a copy was delivered. Alleged, The inhibition was good, notwithstanding that defect; for being against him personally apprehended, law presumes omnia fuisse solenniter acta, and that a copy was given ; and being duly published and registrated, that was sufficient to put him in mala fide to purchase; and, in a late case, No 159, p. 3805; where an execution bore the messenger had delivered a just and authentic, before the following witnesses, without saying 'copy' or 'double,' the Lords found it an omission suppliable, and sustained the execution. Answered, The delivery of a copy was one of the most necessary and essential parts of an execution; and the 141st act, 1592, ordains copies of summonses, &c. to be delivered to the parties; and by act 75, 1540, the manner of the delivery of copies is there set down; and in executions against parties out of the country, a copy must be affixed on the market-cross of Edinburgh, and pier or shore of Leith. And Stair, p. 427, and 683, of his institutions, is most positive and express therein ; and so is Hope, Tit. Horning, Monteith contra Kirkwood, No 93, p. 3754; and Durie, 24th December 1628, Potter contra Baillie, voce JUS TERTII, so that a personal execution will never suppose or presume the delivery of a copy. Neither can this defect be supplied by any other equivalent whatsoever; and where law or custom require a special solemnity, the want of it makes the deed null, et quod nullum est nullos sortitur effectus; and the publishing and registration does not supply the defect, for bonum est ex integra causa, malum vero ex singulis defectibus. Replied, There is no statute requiring the delivery of a copy where

No 149. An inhibition

An inhibition was sustained though the execution did not bear that a copy was delivered to the party inhibited, in respect it bore that he was duly and lawfully inhibited and personally apprehended.