fasine followed, and consequently payment made quocunque tempore makes the same public, and the real right clad with possession, the sasine being prior to the donatar's right.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 89. Gosford, MS. p. 29. & 37.

1682. Fanuary. NISBET against SIR DANIEL CARMICHAEL.

JOHN NISBET, merchant in Edinburgh, being infeft in an yearly annualrent of L. 72, out of the lands of Lumsdean, having pursued a pointing of the ground; and there being compearance made for Sir Daniel Carmichael; another creditor, it was alleged for him, That he ought to be preferred, because John Nisbet's right of annualrent being but a base infeftment, Sir Daniel had led a comprising of the lands before the base infeftment was clad with possession, and so was medium impedimentum, and could not be drawn back to the date of John Nifbet's infeftment, in prejudice of his comprising; especially seeing he was thereafter publicly infeft upon his comprising.—Answered, That the comprising being after John Nisbet's infeftment of annualrent, and the discharge of a term's annualrent, albeit after the comprising, yet being before Sir Daniel was publicly infeft, must be drawn back to the date of the infeftment of annualrent, to clothe his right of possession; so that he having the first complete right, he ought to be preferred; a naked comprising being but equivalent to a personal right, was not such a medium impedimentum as to hinder the discharge of a term's annualrent to be drawn back to the date of the infeftment; just as when a party grants aminfeftment of annualrent, and thereafter should grant a disposition of the lands to another perfon: if the infefrment of annualrent be first clad with possession, before the party, be publicly infeft upon the disposition, or before a base infestment be clad with possession, the infestment of annualrent will be preferred.—The Lords preferred John Nisbet upon his inseftment of annualrent.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 89. Sir P. Home, v. 1. No 103.

** See Nisbet against Carmichael, No 586. voce Competition.

1695. February 15: KEITH of Badinscoth against FALCONER.

WHITELAW reported Helen Keith, and Gordon of Badinscoth, her husband, contra Sir Alexander Falconer of Glenfarquhar and Scot of Logie, about the clothing of a base infestment with possession.—The Lords sound Sir John Falconer's paying a year's annualrent of it, though he took no discharge but an affignation, and though he was not debtor in the annualrent, but had only purchased the lands out of which it was uplistable, was sufficient to make it public, even a gainst Sir John's singular successors.

.

No 27.

No.28 A party was infeft in an annualrent bafe. Before he attained possession, another adjudged. The infefter having got a year's annualrent, before the adjudger was publicly infeft, the Lords preferred the annualrenter.

No 29. An heritor paid a year's annualrent to a base infester, and took assignation to it. This found equivalent to a discharge,