any other action of intrusion, thereby to recover his possession; but admitted the same ut supra to be tried in this removing; and the defender also defending himself with the liferent-escheat, and general declarator obtained by him of this same author, of his heritable right, and which was declared before the said comprising and denunciation, and his possession foresaid; this exception was also repelled, in respect of the said reply, and because there was no special declarator.

No 4.

Act. ----

Alt. Foulis.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 482. Durie, p. 318.

1681. January 18.

CANT against HERRIES.

No 5...

CATHARINE CANT being infeft in a tenement of land in the Stewarty of Kirk-cudbright, obtained decreet before the Stewart against Herries, for intrusion and violent profits; which being suspended, the suspender alleged, That the charger not having been in natural possession, had no interest to obtain a decreet of intrusion.—It was answered, That though the natural possessor can only claim juramentum in litem, in violent profits, yet the master of the ground may pursue intrusion for dispossessing the intruder, and for the ordinary profits.

Which the Lords sustained.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 482. Stair, v. 2. p. 835

See APPENDIX.