fion, that no execution should pass upon the assignation, it might have been consistent; but it bears, that no execution should pass upon the assignation or bond.

THE LORDS found, That the obligement of mutual relief was implied, where parties were bound conjunctly and feverally, albeit not expressed; and that the provision related only to the bond, quantum ad creditorum, and did not restrict the implied obligement of the co-principal, and therefore repelled this reason also. (See Debtor and Creditor. See Proof. See Cautioner.)

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 77. Stair, v. 1. p. 288.

1681. February 8.

20 Julius var njeme ike i propose vito je vijekom i propose matema na naslovijekom i

ogi – vrám ...

NEILSON against Ross.

GILBERT ANDERSON having apprifed from James Farquharfon in anno 1640, the lands of Kelless, whereunto John Wilson having right, pursues reduction of a voluntary disposition and infestment of the same lands, granted by the said James Farquharson to Sutherland of Skelbo, whereupon he was infest, and Mr John Ross as having right from him, upon these reasons, 1 mo, That after legal diligence of a lawful creditor, though it were but inchaste by denouncing of lands to be apprifed, or using exhibition against the person inhibited, any voluntary disposition by the debtor to prevent the effect of such diligence, are annulable thereby, as hath been oft-times decided, much more when an apprifing was confummate. 2do, By the act of Parliament 1621, anent fraudulent alienations, and the last clause thereof, it is statute, That if a debtor, after legal diligence, by apprifing, horning, or inhibition, thall; by gratification, prefer any other creditor, and dispone to them, such dispositions shall be null.—It was answered for the defender, to the first reason. That albeit when any lawful creditor is in cursu diligentiae, no voluntary disposition by his debtor can exclude him; which cannot be applied to this case, where the apprifer was filent and negligent by the space of 10 years without infeftment, or giving a charge, and without pursuing for mails and duties, and fo could not be faid to be in cursu diligentie. As to the second reason, the voluntary disposition here is no gratification or preference, but a fair bargain of fale for a price then paid bona fide, the buyer having been no creditor before, and therefore falls not within the act of Parliament, and no purchaser could secure himself against apprisings, which at that time were not upon record.

THE Lords found both their defences relevant to exclude the reasons of reduction. (Sée Littetous.)

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 77. Stair, v. 1. p. 856.

cim :

No 133.

No 134.
Notwithflanding diligence, a
bankrupt
may fell his
lands for a
price inflantly paid; fuch
alienation is
no preference
of one creditor to another.