SERVICE AND CONFIRMATION.

14357

tenements which fell to the pursuer as heir, by uplifting other moveables or herit. No. 2. able sums, since it was in rem versum haredis.

Newbyth MS. p. 42.

1675. July 23. LAMINGTON against MUIR.

An heritable bond being payable to a father, and, after his decease, to his twosons *nominatim*, all three were infeft *unico contextu*, the precept of sasine being in the same terms. Though the sons were only here substitutes, yet the Lords thoughtⁱ that their infeftment supplied the necessity of a service.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 367. Stair.

* This case is No. 45. p. 4252. voce FIAR.

1680. February 4.

ROBERTSON against PRESTON.

MARY ROBERTSON pursues the representatives of my Lord Preston, for payment of a bond due by him to her. They alleged no process, because the bond being conceived payable by the pursuer's father, and failing of him by decease to her, the father was fiar, and she was but heir-substitute; and he having survived the term of payment, the sum was *in bonis defuncti*, and so must be confirmed. It was answered, That bonds of this tenor are always effectual without confirmation, being much more than a conditional assignation, to take effect at the cedent's death; for by the very tenor of the bond, it is intimated and notour to the debtor.

The Lords found no necessity of confirmation.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 367. Stair, v. 2. p. 751.

*** See Thomson against Merkland, No. 11. p. 5774. voce HUSBAND and WIFE.

1708. February 12. KER

KER against HOWISON.

MR. RICHARD HOWISON, minister at Musselburgh, having bought some acresnear the windmill of Edinburgh, he takes the rights to his wife and himself in liferent, and to William, his eldest son, and his heirs, which failing to Richard his second son, and his heirs, and they also failing, to his own heirs and assignees; and the sasine bears not only himself and William his eldest son, but also Richard his second son, to be nominatim et per expression infeft. William, the eldest son, going a voyage to the Indies, dies there; whereon Richard the second son serves himself heir in general to William, and dispones these acres to Jean

No. 5. An eldest brother being fiar, and the second only substitute, it was found, that the latter, without being served heir in special, could not dispone.

No. 4. Persons nominatim substituted in bonds, need no service nor confirmation.

No. 3.

it. No