1674. February 10.

MARION GRAY against Her Husband's Son and Heir, and Creditors.

Marion Gray by her contract of marriage dispones some lands in Glasgow, whereof the was heretrix, to her husband, who provided her to the liferent of all the means he had, and to the herent of the half of the conquest; whereupon she pursued his heir for implement, and after decreet and horning, pursues an adjudication of the half of the liferent of the tenements acquired. The creditors alleged that this contract was latent and fraudulent, and could not be sustained in the case of a Merchant against Merchants who had continued trade with him. It was answered, That it was an ordinary clause, and for a very onerous cause, anterior to the contracting of the debt; and none of the debts could be contracted for acquiring of the lands, because they are long after.

THE LORDS fustamed the contract and adjudication.

Stair, v. 2. p. 263.

A man by contract of marriage provided his fpouse in the liferent of all he had at the time, and the liferent of half the conquest. Good against Creditors, the lady, by the fame contract, having disponed her estate to her husband.

No 02.

1680. November 23.

Wood against REID.

James Wood as creditor to unquitile Andrew Balfour, purfues reduction of an affignation procured by Balfour, of a fum belonging to Andrew in favours of Mr Patrick Reid, who married his daughter, as being betwixt conjunct perfons, without a cause onerous. The defender having condescended upon several causes enerous; and amongst the rest, that he having married Balfour's daughter, the only child of his marriage, in favours of whom 25,000 merks were provided by her mother's contract; this affignation was all the provision he had, and therefore is in place of a contract of marriage, which being ad sustinenda onera matrimonii is an onerous and most favourable contract. It was answered, That though it had been a formal contract, yet being granted by Andrew Balfour, who was in prison for debt, and commonly repute bankrupt, it could not prejudge lawful creditors; but Reid having married the woman, her father being in that condition, is not as if her father had been in entire reputation, but it must be understood that he married her cum periculo of her father's debts.

Which the Lords found relevant.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 73. Stair, v. 2. p. 804.

1711: June 26. AIKENHEADS against AIKENHEADS.

THE deceast Sir Patrick Aikenhead commissary clerk of Edinburgh, by his first contract of marriage with Grissel Durham, provides the children to 25,000 merks, of which there are four bairns yet living. In his second contract of marriage

No 93. A father, while in prifon, and reputed bankrupt, made an assignation to his fon-in-law in lieu of tocher; although onetous, the daughter not being otherwife provided. for, found reducible; and that a fon-inlaw, contracting with a father in fuch circumstances, must run the hazard of the father's debts.

No 94. A man provided the children of his first marriage in