MOVEABLES.

No 9. sion is only titulus putativus et coloratus, and makes a presumption in behalf of the possessor, unless a better title be shown, and then presumption cecit veritati. But here it is positively offered to be proved, that these goods belonged to the defunct rebel, and his relict only continued in the possession, jure familiaritatis, and so it cannot prejudge the fisk, cui erat jus quasitum per denunciationem. This being reported, "The LORDS found the donatar had right to the goods, he proving they were in the rebel's possession the time of his death, and this notwithstanding of the long posterior possession and taciturnity."

Fountainhall, v. 1. p. 38.

1679. June 5. Captain Home against Mrs Atchison.

No 10. An executor was allowed to prove that a gold chain had been in the possession of the deceased, from which property was to be presumed.

CAPTAIN HOME of Foord pursues Mrs Atchison his cousin, as he who is confirmed executor to his mother, that she may re-deliver to him a gold chain, or necklace, which was his mother's. *Alleged*, She could not restore it; because it was gifted to her by Rachel Home, the pursuer's sister, when the said Rachel was dying. Replied, That donation non relevat; because he offered to prove it was in his mother's custody and possession at the time of her decease, and so must belong to him as her executor, his sister Rachel having no right thereto. Duplied, Possession in mobilibus supposes a title, and both the defender, and her author Rachel Home, who gifted it to her mortis causa, possessed it 11 years without ever being quarrelled : Ergo, " The LORDS found it relevant for the pursuer to prove, that the said gold chain was in his mother's possession at the time of her decease, to give him right thereto, as her executor; as also, sustained this duply as relevant to the defender to prove, for eliding the pursuer's right, that Rachel Home, her author, wore it in her mother's lifetime about her neck, or that she was then in possession of it. And allowed to both parties a conjunct probation for proving thereof."-Which probation being led, and this day advised by the Lords, "They, in supplement thereof, ordained the defender to give her oath anent her own, and her author's. possession of the said chain; whereon if she depone affirmative, they will prefer her, as having best right thereto."

Fountainhall, v. 1. p. 49.

No 11. Restitution of a horse sustained against a bona fide purchaser from a person to whom the owner had lent him. 1630. November 18.

FORSYTH against KILPATRICK.

WILLIAM FORSYTH pursues Hugh Kilpatrick, to deliver to him an horse, hired by him to one Vauchan to Irvine. The defender *alleged*, Absolvitor; because he had *bona fide* bought the horse, and paid the price, and the property of moveables is always presumed by possession, much more when he offers to instruct his right. Is was *answered*, That the presumption is excluded by the