(RANKING of Adjudgers and Apprisers.)

infeft upon his adjudication, must have been preferred to his competitors, none of whom were infeft.

No 25.

Select Dec. No 99. p. 136.

1679. November 7.

STRAITON against BELL.

JOHN STRAITON having adjudged certain tenements in Edinburgh, from the apparent heir of James Bell, pursues the tenants for mails and duties. Compearance is made for Gilbert Bell, who had comprifed the same tenements from the fame apparent heir, and thereupon was infeft, and in possession; and thereupon alleges preference, because albeit Straiton's apprising be prior to his, yet Straiton was never infeft.—It was answered for Straiton, That Carnegie was the first apprifer, and infeft; and that Straiton had adjudged within year and day after Carnegie's apprifing, and so needed no infeftment to complete his right: But by the act of Parliament 1661, between Debtor and Creditor, it is declared, That all apprifings or adjudications within year and day of the first effectual apprifing, shall come in pari passu, as if one apprising had been led for them all.—It was replied, That this claufe being correctory of the ancient law, whereby the first apprifer being infeft, excluded all the reft from mails and duties, until they redeemed the first, it doth only bring in posterior apprifers, as to mails and duties, but cannot make the rights real without infeftment; nulla fafina, nulla terra; fo that though they might defend thereupon against the first apprifer, claiming the whole duty, yet they cannot against a third party; and here the first apprifer is not competing, nor cannot, because his apprising is extinct by intromission, and consequently his infertment; and therefore it cannot fland as an infertment, neither to the first apprifer, nor to any other.—It was answered for Straiton, That he oppones the clause of the act of Parliament, bringing in all the apprifers within year and day, as if one apprifing had been led for all: In which case the infestment would have been an infeftment upon all the apprisings; and therefore, though the first apprifing were extinct, the rest stood valid, or otherways that clause would be elufory, and no posterior appriser could rest upon it, seeing he could not know how or when the first apprifer might be satisfied; and as law makes a charge as effectual as, an infeftment, so the act of Parliament might declare apprising within a year to be effectual without infeftment; which it hath done in another way, by declaring all these apprisings to be, as if one apprising had been led for all.

THE LORDS found, That Straiton's adjudication being within year and day of the first effectual apprising, the infestment was equivalent, as if it had proceeded upon Straiton's adjudication; though the first apprising was satisfied by intromission, yet the infestment was not extinct fimpliciter, but as to the first ap-

No 26. Infeftment upon the first appriling, is communicated to all within year and day .- Inde, a fecond not infeft, but within year and day, is preferable to a posterior. not within year and day, though infeft.

(RANKING of Adjudgers and Apprisers.)

No 26.

priser; therefore preferred Straiton, (though not infest,) to Bell's posterior apprising, though infest.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 18. Stair, v. 2. p. 704.

1676. January 28.

M'LURG against MURRAY.

No 27. Consequences of the first apprifer taking the gift of the common debtor's escheat.

JAMES M'LURG, as donator to the liferent of Robert Charters, and having obtained general declarator, purfues for mails and duties of his lands; compearance is made for Matthew Murray, who alleged, absolvitor, because the rebel was denuded of the lands in question, by an infestment, upon an apprising led against him within year and day of the first apprising, and completed by infestment within the year; whereby these lands having become the property of another perfon who was not rebel, it is equivalent, as if the rebel had relapsed within year and day, which would have purged the rebellion quoad the liferent-escheat, though not as to the fingle escheat; for this fingular successor needed not relax himself, and needed not relax his author. And, if this were not fustained, it were easy for debtors to exclude all their creditors, by going to the horn, and continuing thereat year and day, and taking the gift of liferent in confident persons' names, whereby the creditors could have no access during the debtor's life. been frequently decided by the Lords, that albeit voluntary dispositions, in curfu rebellionis, purge not the superior as to the liferent-escheat; yet dispositions in curfu. which are necessary, and granted in implement of dispositions anterior to the rebellion, or apprifings for debts anterior to the rebellion, are always preferable to the liferent-escheat. It was answered, for the pursuer, That this excipient has no apprifing or infeftment in cur/u; but the only apprifing and infeftment within the year belongs to James M'Lurg himself; and it is jus tertii to the excipient to found upon James M'Lurg's apprifing, which he may make use of, or not make use of. as he pleafes. It was replied, for Murray, That, by the act of Parliament 1661. betwixt Debtor and Creditor, apprifings deduced within year and day come in pari passum as if one apprising were led for both: Ita est, that Murray, although he has not apprifed within the year after the denunciation, yet his apprifing is within the year of James M'Lurg's apprifing; and fo he may found upon the fame, as if it were included therein, and James cannot pass from it without his consent; and, therefore, James cannot have the mails and duties, as donator of the liferent-escheat, but they will divide betwixt him and Murray as apprisers, within year and day.

THE LORDS found, That James M'Lurg's apprifing and infeftment being within year and day of the denunciation, and for a debt prior to the denunciation, did exclude the liferent-escheat; and that Murray, having apprised within year and day of M'Lurg, he could not pass from his apprising without Murray's consent; and so Murray ought to come in pari passu with M'Lurg.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 18. Stair, v. 2. p. 407.