1622. December 17. GEORGE MELDRUM against the LAIRD of Austruther.

No 39.

THE LORDS found an affignation to farms null, because not intimate before the comprising of the property, or denunciation to comprise.

Kerse, MS. fol. 55.

1673. July 23. LAIRD BARNBARROCH against the Viscoun't of Kenmore.

No 40. Affignation intimated in the cedent's affetime, needs no transference.

Patrick Kincaid having obtained decreet against the Viscount of Kenmore, the Viscount suspends. Patrick assigned the right to his son, who transferred the same to Barnbarroch; who thereupon called upon a copy in the name of Patrick Kincaid, and as assignee craved protestation; which the Lords sound he might do; seeing the assignation and translation was intimate in Kincaid's lifetime, and so needed no transferrence.

Stair, v. 2. p. 220.

1678. February 12. Frazer against Frazer.

NO 41. Before intimation, the cedent's oath, is competent, to the prejudice of the affiguee. Hugh Frazer of Easter-tyre, and Frazer of Streichen, as cautioner, having granted a bond of 1000 merks to Alexander Frazer, who assigned the same to Robert Frazer, in whose name process was intented against Streichen the cautioner; who alleged absolvitor, because he offered him to prove by Robert's oath, that the pursuit was to the behoof of the Lord Salton, his son or grand-child in his samily; and by the Lord Salton's oath, that the same was paid or compensed.—It was answered, That granting the pursuit was to the behoof of William Frazer oye to the Lord Salton, who produces a translation from Robert Frazer, and thereby might proceed in his author's name or his own; but when any thing is referred to the cedent's oath, he may exclude the same by the translation; it being a certain rule, that the cedent cannot depone in prejudice of the assignee.—It was replied, That the process being in the cedent's name, intented before any intimation, becomes thereby litigious; and an assignation or translation, though prior to the pursuit, yet not being intimate before the pursuit, and thereby the cedent not being denuded, his oath is competent against the assignee.

Which the Lords fuffained, and ordained the cedent to depone.

Stair, v. 2. p. 612