128,

time the charter was expede, the rights belonging to the faid Earl, were by the No 38. tative to enter act Quarto Georgii, vefted in the perfon of the Commissioners of Enquiry. heir in gene-THE LORDS fuftained both objections.

> Act. Alex. Nairn. Alt. John Ogilvie. Clerk, Mackenzie. Fol. Dic. v. 3. p. 4 Edgar, p. 48.

1677. November 29. ORROCK against MORRIS.

WILLIAM ORROCK of Balram, having used an order of redemption of feveral apprifings against his estate, purfues a declarator against David Morris, That the apprifings, in fo far as not fatisfied by intromiffion within the legal, are yet unexpired by the order, and fatisfiable by payment of the furplus; which coming to an account, it was alleged for the purfuer, That the fums whereupon these apprisings proceeded, were secured by infestment; which, though they bore requisition, yet in the clause of requisition, there was only an obligement to pay the principal fum, annualrent, and penalty; but not to pay any termly failzies; and yet the apprisings were led for all the termly failzies, which fhould have abidden declarator; albeit they had been in the claufe of requisition. 2do, Such failzies, even after apprisings, are modifiable by the Lords .- The defender answered, That penalties in bonds, after apprisings, which is the ultimate diligence, are not accustomed to be modified, or the apprifing to be quarrelled on that ground; and the termly failzies are but a penalty for the annualrent.

THE LORDS found, That if the termly failzies were not mentioned in the claufe of requifition, for which the apprifing proceeded, they should not at all be fuffained in the account, but deduced; and though they were in that claufe, that they ought to be modified according to the true damage and interest of the apprifer, and that they were not in the cafe of ordinary penalties in principal fums.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 9. Stair, v. 2. p. 568.

1680.

EARL OF PANMUIR against DURHAM. November 30.

No 40. Exorbitant penalty.

A penalty on a principal fum, where exorbitant, was reftricted to the tenth part of the principal fum; and this after ad-

THE Earl of Panmuir having wadfet Durham of Grange's lands, for 2600G merks of principal, and 5000 merks of penalty; containing a claufe, That feeing Panmuir had fupported him in money, and lent him in his neceffity, that if he happened to fell his land, he should give my Lord the first offer, and prefer him, he paying as great a price as another would give. Panmuir adjudges for the fame fums, and purfues for removing. The defender offers the principal and annualrent at the bar, and fo much of the penalty, as the Lords fhould modify, providing that the purfuer flould renounce the claufe of preference. The pur-

No 39. Exorbitant penalty. An apprifing

ral.

led for termly failzies, in an infeftment of annualrent, fuftained only to the extent of the true intereft and damage of the apprifer.