(RANKING of Adjudgers and Apprisers.)

No 26.

priser; therefore preferred Straiton, (though not infest,) to Bell's posterior apprising, though infest.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 18. Stair, v. 2. p. 704.

1676. January 28.

M'LURG against MURRAY.

No 27. Consequences of the first apprifer taking the gift of the common debtor's escheat.

JAMES M'LURG, as donator to the liferent of Robert Charters, and having obtained general declarator, purfues for mails and duties of his lands; compearance is made for Matthew Murray, who alleged, absolvitor, because the rebel was denuded of the lands in question, by an infestment, upon an apprising led against him within year and day of the first apprising, and completed by infestment within the year; whereby these lands having become the property of another perfon who was not rebel, it is equivalent, as if the rebel had relapsed within year and day, which would have purged the rebellion quoad the liferent-escheat, though not as to the fingle escheat; for this fingular successor needed not relax himself, and needed not relax his author. And, if this were not fustained, it were easy for debtors to exclude all their creditors, by going to the horn, and continuing thereat year and day, and taking the gift of liferent in confident persons' names, whereby the creditors could have no access during the debtor's life. been frequently decided by the Lords, that albeit voluntary dispositions, in curfu rebellionis, purge not the superior as to the liferent-escheat; yet dispositions in curfu. which are necessary, and granted in implement of dispositions anterior to the rebellion, or apprifings for debts anterior to the rebellion, are always preferable to the liferent-escheat. It was answered, for the pursuer, That this excipient has no apprifing or infeftment in cur/u; but the only apprifing and infeftment within the year belongs to James M'Lurg himself; and it is jus tertii to the excipient to found upon James M'Lurg's apprifing, which he may make use of, or not make use of. as he pleafes. It was replied, for Murray, That, by the act of Parliament 1661. betwixt Debtor and Creditor, apprifings deduced within year and day come in pari passum as if one apprising were led for both: Ita est, that Murray, although he has not apprifed within the year after the denunciation, yet his apprifing is within the year of James M'Lurg's apprifing; and fo he may found upon the fame, as if it were included therein, and James cannot pass from it without his consent; and, therefore, James cannot have the mails and duties, as donator of the liferent-escheat, but they will divide betwixt him and Murray as apprisers, within year and day.

THE LORDS found, That James M'Lurg's apprifing and infeftment being within year and day of the denunciation, and for a debt prior to the denunciation, did exclude the liferent-escheat; and that Murray, having apprised within year and day of M'Lurg, he could not pass from his apprising without Murray's consent; and so Murray ought to come in pari passu with M'Lurg.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 18. Stair, v. 2. p. 407.

(RANKING of ADJUDGERS and APPRICESS.)

*** The case is stated thus, in Gosford:

No 27.

In a double poinding, raifed at the instance of the tenants of one Robert Charters, who was heritor of a tenement of land in Edinburgh; it was alleged, for James M'Lurg, That he ought to be preferred, because he was donator to the common debtor's liferent-escheat, which was fallen by his being year and day at the horn, before Matthew Murray's comprising. It was answered, for Matthew Murray, That, notwithstanding, he ought to be preserved, because the said James M'Lurg had comprised the faid tenement, and was infest within year and day of the rebellion, and so that liferent escheat could not vaick, the King having a vassal: And albeit the faid Matthew's comprising was after the faid rebel was year and day at the horn; yet his comprising being within year and day of M'Lurg's first comprising, by act of Parliament 1661, he ought to come in pari passu with him, and so would be preferable to any subsequent gift to the first comprising. It was replied, That the first compriser was not obliged to communicate his right to the fecond comprifer; there being no difference betwixt voluntary dispositions, whereupon infeftment followed, and comprisings; as one infeft upon an heritable right might take a gift of a liferent-escheat, and thereby seclude all posterior insestments, which were after rebellion; so James M'Lurg might seclude this compriser, who had done no diligence till year and day after the re-THE LORDS did, notwithstanding, prefer Matthew Murray to the donator, and found, That his comprising being within year and day of the first, by the act of Parliament, he comes in pari paffu with him, as if his comprising had been of that fame date; and that the first compriser being infest within year and day of the rebellion, did exclude all donators from the liferent-escheat; so that, as to these lands contained in the comprising, the escheat could not vaik, the King having a vaffal; and therefore did find, that they had a like right to the mails and duties, according to the value of their comprisings, the fecond compriser paying the half of the charges, bestowed by the first compriser, for obtaining infeftment.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 18. Gosford, MS. No 845.

1610. June.

HERRIES against ASLOWEN.

In an action of double poinding, pursued by the tenants of Cluny, against George Herries of Terrachlie, John Aslowen of Garren, and William Glendinning; it was found, That William Glendinning, who had comprised the property of the lands from Terrachie, for the bygones of an annualrent of 50 merks, whereon his author, the Laird of Partoun, was infest, in anno 1593, by Terrachlie, should be preferred to the said John Aslowen; notwithstanding it was alleged, and instantly

No 28. Apprifings and adjudications, upon debita fundi, are preferable to all others, prior or posterior.