796

1675. November 20.

WARDEN against BERRY.

Na 136.

THE LORDS found, That an arrestment upon a decreet, after it was suspended, may be loosed upon caution. Done upon a bill. See No. 131. p. 793.

Clerk, Hamilton.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 59. Dirleton, No 300. p. 147.

an explain to the first of the

\* \* Gosford observes the same case thus:

There being a new query made to the Lords, by the Ordinary upon the bills: If an arrestment upon a decreet, which was suspended, could be loosed, upon eaution? It was debated, That, by our law and custom, no arrestment upon a decree could be loosed; but, on the contrary, it was arged, That the decreet hering suspended upon caution, ought not to have that same force as a standing decreet, which was never suspended; seeing the suspension did not only suspended all execution, but make the subject of the decreet to be again castled in question; and the creditor being sufficiently secured by caution, it was against reason, that, by an arrestment, which was a real diligence, he should be meapacitated to make use of his goods or debts, and so have nothing to live upon. The Loads did sind, that the arrestment ought to be loosed upon sufficient caution, our law and custom being only, where decreets were standing unsuspended; but the reasons thereof could not be extended where decreets were suspended; but yet they were all of opinion, that inhibitions might be truly served upon decreet standing suspended.

Gosford, MS. No 807.

No 137. After decree has followed on a dependence, arreftment is ffill loofeable upon caution. 1677. June 9.

SIBBALD of Rankillor against SIBBALD.

SIR DAVID SIBBALD having disposed his estate to Henry Sibbald, his son, with reservation of an annuity to himself, did raise a process for payment, and arrested the tenants duties upon the dependence, and thereupon having obtained decreet for payment, he insists now for making the rents surthcoming.—The tenants alleged, That the arrestment was loosed upon caution, whereupon they paid.—It was answered, That the loosing of the arrestment contains an express provision, unless the arrestment proceeded upon a decreet; and albeit this arrestment was on a dependence, yet there having supervened a decreet upon that dependence, before the loosing of the arrestment, it was equivalent as if the arrestment had been upon the decreet.

THE LORDS found, That an arrestment, upon a dependence, might be loosed upon caution, albeit a decreet on that dependence preceded the loosing of the arrestment.

Ed. Dic. v. 1. p. 59. Stair, v. 2. p. 521.