794

No 132. fignation of the principal fum and annualrent, and caution for the penalty.

THE LORDS refused to loose the arrestment, being upon a decreet, upon caution, but granted the same upon confignation of the principal sum and annualment contained in the bond, which was the ground of the arrestment, and caution for the penalty.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 59. Stair, v. 2. p. 333.

1675. June 30.

HALL against MURRAY.

No 133. The decree being turned into a libel, arrestment is loofeable upon caution.

ARRESTMENT being upon a decreet; and the faid decreet being thereafter turned in a libel, the Lords found, That the decreet ceased to be a sentence; and the arrestment thereupon is now of the nature of an arrestment upon a dependence, and may be loosed.

Clerk; Gibson.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 59. Dirleton, No 284. p. 138.

Stair likewise mentions this case:

JAMES MURRAY having charged John Hall, and arrested his goods upon a decreet, he suspends, whereupon the decreet was turned to a libel, and thereupon the suspender craved that the arrestment might now be declared null, at least might be loosed.

THE LORDS ordained the arrestment to be loosed.

Stair, v. 2. p. 338.

1675. November 4.

Mossman, Supplicant.

No 134.
Arrestment in fecurity before the term of payment of the arresting debt is loose-able upon caution.

WIGHTMAN in Edinburgh gives bond to his wife, obliging him to pay to her, her heirs and executors, 4000 merks, in fatisfaction of her third, and provision of their conquest, and the contract, payable at the first term after his own death; the wife having died before the husband, her nearest of kin, — Mossman, confirms herself executrix to the defunct's wife, and gives up in inventory this bond of 4000 merks, and thereupon obtains decreet against Aikman the husband, and upon the decreet arrests several sums of his, whereupon Aikman gives in a bill for loosing the arrestment; but, in respect that ordinarily arrestments upon decreets cannot be loosed; the matter was considered by the Lords, and they remembered that lately they had loosed arrestment upon consignation of the sum, for which the arrestment was laid on:

And they found, That, in this case, the term of payment neither being come, nor near, being after the debtor's death, who is yet alive, that there was no reason his whole means should lie under arrestment all his life; they did therefore loose the arrestment upon sufficient caution, at the fight of the Lords.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 59. Stair, v. 2. p. 363.

Gosford reports the same case thus:

No 134.

This day there was a query proposed to the Lords, upon a bill given in for loofing of an arrestment upon caution, bearing, that Mossman having given a bond to his wife for payment of the fum of 4000 merks, at the first term after his decease, her executors confirmed, having given up this bond in the inventory of debts, and thereupon obtained a decreet against Mossman, upon which they had arrested the whole debts due to him; and his whole moveables, which he craved to be loofed upon fufficient caution. The reason of the query was, That the arrestment, being upon decreet, could not be loosed upon caution by our law and practique, but only upon confignation.—The Lords having confidered the case. did loofe the arrestment upon sufficient caution, upon this reason, that our law and practique, refusing to loofe arrestments upon decreets, and offer to find caution, was only where decreets were given, which were to have prefent execution or at a certain time. But this decreet having been given upon a bond, which could not take effect till after the death of the granter, which was uncertain, it was against reason and law to deprive him of making use of his debts for commerce or livelihood, or to confign the whole debt decerned, which would be useless until his death, and that it was sufficient that he should find caution, that the faid debt should be punctually paid, conform to his bond, at the first term after his death, which did absolutely secure his wife's executors, et ratio legis being only to secure by arrestment, could not be farther extended than for debts which were presently due, and at a certain term.

Gosford, MS. No 799.

1675. November 6. GEORGE MONTEITH, Supplicant.

George Monteith having given in a bill, representing, that he having arrested certain sums of money, did supplicate the Lords, that no loosing thereof should pass, but upon sufficient caution intimate to him; and that, notwithstanding, the clerk of the bills, after intimation of the Lords deliverance, granting his bill, thereafter accepted a cautioner living in Leith, who is a known bankrupt. It was answered, That the supplicant had obliged himself, during such a space, not to arrest, and that the sum was so great a cautioner could hardly be found.

THE LORDS confidering the loofing of arrestment had passed of course, contrary to their order, found it void, and ordained the same to be intimate to the debtors, in whose hands arrestments was made; and ordained the servant of the bill-chamber, who hath the charge of the loofing of arrestments, to be removed out of the chamber.

The clerk of the bills expelled from his of office, for taking improper ciution in loofing arrestment; and the loofing, which had passed, contray to an order of Court, found null.

No 135.

Stair, v. 2. p. 364.