## ADVOCATION.

No 9. report to the Court before pailing a bill. Ordinary upon the bills may refuse to pass advocations, if he find cause; but that he ought to report all advocations before they be pass to the whole Lords. Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 26. Dirleton, No 260. p. 126.

1675. June 8.

370

Kyle against GRAY.

THIS day the LORDS found, That advocations for fums of money within 200 merks, could not be paft upon any reafon of iniquity.

Some of the LORDS in the cafe forefaid were of opinion, That advocations fhould not pass, though the process had been for a sum above 200 merks; because litifcontestation had been made in the cause; and after litifcontestation there can be no iniquity but by a decreet, which ought to be suffered without advocation.

Cafflebill, Reporter.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 26. Dirleton, No 261. p. 126.

## 1676. December 12. MARSHALL against Holmes.

AN advocation being produced, after the judge had decerned, but before he had cleared and dictated the minute of the decreet; which he did upon the Bench, immediately after production of the advocation :

THE LORDS found the decreet null, as being *fpreto mandato*; but in refpect of the circumftances, and that the judge had decerned before, as faid is, they turned it in a libel.

Thefaurer-depute, Reporter. Gibson Clerk. Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 27. Dirleton, No 396. p. 195.

\*\*\* Stair thus reports the fame cafe :

CHRISTIAN HOLMES having obtained decreet againft John Marshall, before the Sheriff of Lanark; he fuspends and raises reduction on this reason, that the decreet is null, being *fpreto mandato judicis* of the Lords, after an advocation produced judicially.—It was answered, non relevat, because the decreet was pronounced before the advocation was produced.—It was replied, That by an inftrument produced, taken judicially in the hands of the Clerk of Court, and subscribed by him, it is instructed, That the sheriff-depute, immediately after the calling of that cause, did only express generally, Decerns; and immediately after the advocation was produced, he did dictate the fentence to the clerk; so that before the judge was functus officio, by expressing the special tenor of the decreet, the advocation being produced, the decreet is simply null, as *spreto mandato*, and cannot be fustained, even as to the libel thereof, which is fometimes done by the Lords ex gratia in null decreets, but never in those that are fpreto mandato.

No 10. Advocation cannot be paft of fums under 200 merks, for any reafon of invituty.

No 11. An advocation produced after decree pronounced, but before it was reduced into writing, rendered the decree null, as being foreto mandato.