(FORMALITIES of the DILIGENCE.)

No 14. term of endurance, and the granter's name were not specified.

' and others, granted by

, to the faid Robert

' Kennedy, of which there are

- years still to run, from the term
- of next to come.

To this adjudication the common agent objected, That it did not specify the date of the tack, the granter of it, the term of endurance, nor the situation of the lands.

' THE LORDS repelled the objection.'

Lord Ordinary, Alva. For Jean and Fergusia Kennedies, George Wallace. For the Common Agent, George Ferguson. Clerk, Orme.

Fol. Dic. v. 3. p. 8. Fac. Col. No 163. p. 254.

Craigie.

GENERAL CLAUSE in APPRISING and ADJUDICATION.

1673. November 21.

FAIRHOLM against RENTOUN and the Countels of Leven.

No 1. An apprifing is led, of an infeftment of annualrent. The letters contain the words, and all other right. The charge is given to infeft in the land, not in the annualrent. This is objected to; but on account of the general words in the appring, the charge is fuitained.

THE Earl of Leven having granted bond, of 20,000 merks to the Laird of Lamertoun, with an infeftment of annualrent thereon, in the barony of West Nisbet; The fame being apprifed, first by Mr John Fairholm, he charged the Countess, on the apprifing, to receive him; and, thereafter, it was apprifed by Rentoun, Justice Clerk; and the Countess having raised a double poinding: In the competition, it was alleged, for Mr John Fairholm, That he ought to be preferred; because he had the first apprising, and the first charge. It was answered, That, though Rentoun's apprifing was posterior, yet it was preferable; because insestment had proceeded thereupon: and Fairholm's charge was informal, and null; because the Countess was charged to receive him into the lands; whereas the right was an annualrent furth of the lands, which is a diffinct right from the lands, requiring diffinct folemnities; neither did the apprifing apprife, or adjudge, an annualrent; nor did the horning charge to infeft in an annualrent, but to infeft in the land. Whereupon, the Lorps found already, That the charge was null; and, if the Countess had given obedience, and infeft Fairholm in the land, and Rentoun in the annualrent, he would have had the only right. It was replied, That apprifers, who cannot know their debter's rights, can do no more but apprife the ground, right, and property, and all other right; and, if they charge accordingly, it is fufficient to reach an annualrent, or any other just right: and there is more in this case, for the apprising is not only of the property, and all other right, but (GENERAL CLAUSE.)

specially of the bond of 20,000 merks, and the infeftment following thereupon, which is the infeftment of annualrent, which is also contained in the allowance, and is related in the horning; and though, in the will, it bears only to infeft in the land, yet it bears conform to the apprifing and allowance, and the charge is conform: And, at the time of the former interlocutor, the apprifing was not produced; and the charge was only to infeft in the land, and mentioned not any other right, but the apprising, being related to, and special; it is sufficient.

THE LORDS fustained the charge, and preferred Fairholm.

Stair, v. 2. p. 230.

1674. Fanuary 23. NISBET against Mein.

UMQUHILE Henry Nisbet did insest his son, James Nisbet, in a tenement in Edinburgh, excepting a merchant-buith of the tenement; in which buith he infeft William Nisbet, his fon, referving an annualrent of L. 20 yearly, furth of the faid buith, to the faid James Gilbert Gourly, creditor to James Nisbet, apprised, from James, the whole tenement, as it is bounded by its infeftments. The ground-right, and property thereof, with all other right competent to James; which right being now in the person of Mr William Nisbet, he pursues a poinding of the ground of the buith, against Robert Mein, who has the right of property thereof; who alleged, That the purfuer had no right to the foresaid annualrent out of the buith, because his author did not apprise the annualrent, but only the tenement, from which the buith was difmembered, by refignation, before the apprifing, and fo was neither part nor pertinent of the tenement belonging to James Nisbet, his debtor. It was answered, That the apprising being of the whole tenement, with all right competent to James Nifbet; and he having right to the annualrent out of the buith, the apprifing carries the annualrent, though it be not expressed in the same manner as if James had disponed the tenement, with all right; for the right of property contains, eminenter, all leffer rights; neither is there here any competing, upon a more formal, or folemn right, of the annualrent; nor can the defender deny but he is liable in the annualrent, and hath 500 merks. in his hand for the warrandice of it.

THE LORDS sustained the pointing of the ground.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 10. Stair, v. 2. p. 255,

The Apprisers of the Estate of Enoch competing. July 21. 1680.

THERE being two apprifers of Enoch within year and day; the one whereof has adjudged the barony of Enoch, with parts and pertinents; and the other, the lands of Enoch, with parts and pertinents, and all other lands belonging to the

No 2. An apprifing of a tenement, with all right competent to the debtor; found to carrv an infeft. ment of an-

nualrent, the

over the tenement.

debtor had

No 1.

No 3, judged by.

A general clause of all lands, ineffeetual; but a barony, ad-