was, nor could be used till the expiration of her's, and there is the modus vacandi declaret, viz. when her's should expire.

To the third, answerred; amo, Suoh a second gift could not take away a jus quasitum by the patent, being a gift for a number of years; and though Mr Freebairn's name is in the gift, yet, being to partners and assignees, and actually assigned, and the Lords' declarator following thereon, Mr Freebairn could obtain no second gift to evacuate the pursuer's right ; 2do, It is jus tertii to the defender.
"The Lords found the pursuer's interest and title by the gift did not fall or become irritated by 'Mr Freebairn's not qualifying within three months of the date of the gift ; and repelled the defence, that after the said first gift to Freebairn, he obtained a second gift before the time at which the first was to commence; as also, repelled the defence, that the said first gift was granted before expiring of the former gift in favour of the defender, the said gift to Freebairn being to commence at the ish of the said former gift given to the defender."

Bruce, v. 2. No 18. p. 22.

## SECT. H

## Citation cum Processu.

## 1672. J゙anuary 24.

The Laird of Luss, and Gearge Glendinning, against The Earl of Nithsdale.

The Earl of Nithsdale being pursued, as heir of tailzie to the last Earl of Nithsdale, for payment of a bernd gramed hey him to the Laird of Luss, it was alleged, No process, because the heirs of line were not cited. It was replied, There was no necessity, unless the pursuers could condescend that they had an estate which might be discussed.
The Lords surtained the defence, and faund there was a necessity to cite them, albeit, when they were cited, the heir of tailzie the defender behoved to condescond upon an estate in their persom, which might be discussed, otherwise they might inamediately insist against him.

Fol. Dic. w. 2. p. 3o.r. Gosford, MSS. p. 233 .
Stair's peport of this case is \$o $45 \cdot$ P. 3565 . voce Discussion.

