APPROBATE AND REPROBATE.

1566. July 14. George Weir against The L. of Lie.

ANENT the action to be purfued by George Weir against the L. of Lie, for delivering to the faid George of his brother's fon and pupil, to whom the faid George was made tutor testamentar, and therefore the said George should have the keeping of the faid pupil: It was alleged, by the faid defender, that the faid George should not have the keeping of the said pupil, because the Laird of Stonebyres, guidfir to the faid pupil, obtained the gift, and the ward of the marriage of the lands of the faid pupil, to him and his affigney, and made the Laird of Lie, defender, his affigney to the famen. It was alleged, by the faid purfuer, that, long before the affignation, the Laird of Stonebyres made the faid pupil affigney to the faid ward and marriage. Whilk allegeance of the pursuer was given to his probation; and, to prove the whilk allegeance, the faid George produced an nottar, to produce an instrument, to prove his intent. Whilk nottar produced an instrument, bearing in effect, that the faid L. of Stonebyres made the faid pupil affigney to his own ward and marriage; and thereafter it was contended, that the faid Laird, of his gude, have the maintaining and guiding of the faid pupil. It was alleged, by the faid defender, that the instrument had proven his intent toward the keeping of the faid pupil, by reason of the second clause contained in the faid inftrument. It was alleged, by the pursuer, that the second clause was false and feigned, and offered him to improve the samen, omni modo quo ut de jure. It was alleged, by the defender, that the faid pursuer might not improve one part of the inftrument, and affirm another part to be liell. Whilk allegeance of the defender was repelled by the Lords; and found, by interlocutor, that the faid purfuer might affirm one part of the faid instrument to be true for proving his intent, and might improve another part of the same.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 48. Lethington, MS. p. 77.

1671. June 23. LADY BALLAGAN against Lord Drumlanric.

THE Lady Ballagan being, by her contract of marriage, provided to certain lands, and, amongst others, to the lands of Birks, the contract bears, that she accepts of the saids lands in full satisfaction of all further conjunct-fee, liferent, or terce: she was infest in the lands of Birks by her husband, but was not confirmed by the Lord Drumlanrig superior, of whom the lands held ward. The Lady

No I. Found that a party might lawfully approve and make use of one part of an instrument for proving his intent, and yet offer to improve another part of the same as false.

No 2.
A third party was allowed to approbate one clause of a writ in his favour, and reprobate the rest.

No 2.

purfues the tenants of Birks for mails and duties. Compearance is made for the Lord Drumlanrig, superior; who craves preference, because the lands are now, by ward, in his hands, by the death of the husband, and minority of the heir. And as for the lady's infeftment, it can have no effect against the ward, because it is not confirmed. It was answered, that the lands being ward, and less than the third part of the ward lands, holden of the Lord Drumlanrig, the Lady has right by law thereto, as her terce. It was replied, That, by her contract of marriage, the had accepted the lands provided therein, in fatisfaction of her terce, which is the ordinary conception of a renunciation, as when a fum is accepted in fatisfaction of any prior debt, it imports a renunciation and discharge of the prior debt, and an inhibition prior to the last bond will reduce any right thereupon: Neither can it be maintained as having an anterior cause by the former bonds; yea, any apprizing upon them would be void, because they are renounced. It was answered for the Lady, 1mo, That there was here no formal renunciation or discharge of the terce, and the acceptance of lands for it, doth very well allow, that the land accepted may be bruiked as terce, at least a terce of that land must be due. though no terce of other lands can be claimed: and albeit the clause in satisfaction, in personal rights, is commonly understood to renounce and extinguish the prior rights, unless they be referved; yet it is not so in real rights; for if any perfon have many rights to lands, and doth thereafter accept a disposition of a partof the lands in fatisfaction of all his interest, that does not renounce his formerrights to that land, but he may defend himself with them all. So here, accepting of lands in fatisfaction of a terce, does not renounce the terce, as to the lands accepted. 2do, Albeit this clause could import the renouncing of all terce, that can never be extended to the benefit of the superior, nor can it be understood the contractor's mind, to exclude the wife from the terce, to make it accrefce to the fuperior, in both their prejudices, because the husband, by the warrandice, must 3tio, Albeit the renunciation could be profitable to the make out the jointure. fuperior, yet it being by this clause in the contract, the fuperior cannot question the lady's infeftment, which is the cause of the renunciation, but must adhere to the whole clause, nam qui approbat non reprobat. It was answered, That the common sense of this clause of acceptance does still import a full renunciation, neither can the intention or meaning of the parties import any thing, unless they had acted accordingly; for it had been easy for them to have said, but prejudice of the terce as to these lands; so that the terce being renounced, the renunciation is profitable to all parties having interest, because the right thereby renounced is simply extinct: Neither needs the superior approve the infestment unconfirmed, by making use of the renunciation, for as there could be no pretence for that upon the naked clause, without any infeftment, so the meaning can only be, that if the clause had been perfected by a valid infertment, he could not have quarrelled it.

THE LORDS preferred the fuperior, and found the acceptance a full renunciation of the terce, both as to the lands accepted and others. (See Jus Tertii.)

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 48. Stair, v. 1. p. 739.