and of the tenants goods being thereupon; and it is a novelty to grant personal execution against a stranger, or any who is a singular successor:——The Lords repelled the allegeance, and sustained this personal pursuit, for payment personally against the defenders, the pursuer proving, that they intromitted with the duties of the lands, and that the quantity of their intromission extended to as much as should satisfy yearly the annualrent acclaimed; for the Lords held the defenders in no better case, than if naked tenants had been personally convened to pay this annualrent, quo casu they would have sustained the process; and with the like reason it was sound, process ought to be sustained against the defenders: And the Lords declared, that they would keep this decision thereaster in all the like cases, whensoever any should occur.

Act. Heriot. Alt. Stuart & Nicolson Clerk, Gibson. Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 45. Durie, p. 836.

1670. February I.

WILSON against Russell.

No 5.
An action at the inftance of an annual-renter who had a poinding of the ground, was inftained against the tenant perfonally, after his removal.

Wilson being infeft in an annualrent in the lands of , and having obtained a decreet of poinding the ground; thereafter, Ruffell, being a creditor, did arrest the mails and duties in the tenant's hands, which were due to the master; and pursuing to make arrested goods forthcoming, the tenant being removed off the ground with his whole goods: It was alleged for Wilson, That he ought to be preferred, because his decreet of poinding of the ground was before the arrestment, and being a real diligence, did affect the whole duties payable to the master.—It was answered for Russell, That the tenant being removed with the whole goods, fuch decreets and letters being only to poind the ground, and the goods thereon, could not affect him nor his goods. The LORDS did prefer Wilson, the annualrenter, and found he having done prior diligence, whereby he might have poinded the tenant before he removed, albeit he did prejudge himfelf of all the execution against the tenant's goods, after they were off the ground, yet quoad the duties payable to the master, for which he might pursue him personali actione, he was not prejudged from the benefit thereof by the tenant's removal: But the decreet of poinding of the ground, and letters thereof, being a real execution prior to the arrestment, made him preferable to the arrester, as to the duties for which he was liable to the common debtor.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 45. Gosford, MS. No 241. p. 98.