SECT. 4.

Act. H. Erskine.

BURGH ROYAL.

Answered: This statute does not require that the meeting should be *held*; but only that it should be *called* within two days from the receipt of the precept, the object of the enactment being to prevent the chief magistrate from keeping it up arbitrarily. A summons always implies reasonable *inducia*.

The Court were of this opinion, and unanimously dismissed the complaint.

Alt. D. Williamson.

Clerk, Pringle. Fac. Col. No. 37. p. 83.

against Commissary SMOLLET, Provost of Dumbarton.

There is mentioned at page 1843, and frequently afterwards in No 8. a case said to have been decided in the House of Lords, 19th February 1735.—The Editor has not been able to find it, either in the Journals of the House of Lords, or in the appealed cases sent to the Advocate's Library. It would seem, that Commissary Smollet, who had heen elected provost of Dumbarton, had been objected to as a country gentleman, and non-resident. The Court of Session had annulled the election, but the House of Lords had sustained it; because usage had prevailed so far against the statutes, as to put the town in *bona fide* to elect a stranger their provost; consequently, this particular election ought to be sustained, the full effect, for the future, of the statutes being reserved.

See Appendix.

SECT. IV.

Who liable to Burgal Services and Prestations.

1669. February 1. BOSWALL against TOWN of KIRKALDY.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 117. Gosford, MS. p. 99.

1672. February 14. Forbes against The Town of Inverness.

FORBES of Culloden, and other feuers of Inverness, pursue the town for imposing unwarrantable stents, not authorised by Parliament, and that upon them who were not inhabitants, but feuers of the town-lands, for their ministers stipends, reparation of the bridge, and for processes against the pursuers themselves, and that the stents were most unequal, and that they had proceeded contrary to the Lords sentence formerly pronounced, whereby they declared, that no voluntary stent should be imposed without authority of Parliament, till public intimation were made, and beating of drums, calling the whole inhabitants to show the cause of the imposition, and that it was for the good of the town;

No 36. An heritor of burgh lands not residing within the burgh, was found not liable to the stent for the second. minister's stipend, nor for the charges of sending commissioners to the Convention.

No 37. The formalities requisite in ascertaining and imposing stent.

No 35.

1895