14100

No 16. whereupon an infeftment of annualrent was granted, the compriser might pass from his comprising, and return to his infeftment of annualrent : this conform to practiques long since decided. See No 19. infra.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 355. Gilmour, No 76. p. 57. & No 91. p. 70.

\*\*\* Stair's report of this case is No 8. p. 237. voce Adjudication.

1667. June 15.

KAY against FLEMING.

No 17. In conformity with the above.

See No 19.

GEORGE FLEMING having an infeftment of annualrent out of the lands of Cambo, and thereafter having comprised for his principal sum, it was found, in a double poinding and competition betwixt the said George and Gilbert Kay, another creditor of Cambo, that the said Gilbert should be preferred, in respect of the said Gilbert's infeftment in an annualrent. That decreet being suspended, Fleming craved to be preferred, in respect his right of annualrent was before Kay's right. It was *answered*, That this infeftment was extinct, and taken away by the comprising, and that he could not now have recourse to it, after a decreet of preference *in foro contradictorio*. It was *replied*, That decreets of double poinding preclude, as to bygones; but, as to the future, all are qualified, for any thing that was then seen.

THE LORDS were clear, that, notwithstanding of the comprising, he might have recourse to his former right; but the great question was, Whether decreets of poinding the ground, against a party compearing, did conclude him, so that he could not be heard against competent and omitted? which the Lords did not decide, but recommended to the reporter to settle the parties.

> Clerk, Gibson. Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 355. Dirleton, No 83. p. 35.

No 18.

January 15. TRORTER against TROTTER.

THE LORDS found, that a wadsetter, having comprised for his principal sum, may, in competition with another compriser, pass from his comprising, and return to his former right of wadset. See No 14. p. 14104.

> Clerk, Gibson. Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 354. Dirleton, No 134. p. 56.

1671. December 22.

1668.

CAMPBELL against \_\_\_\_\_.

No 19. An apprising may be restricted.

IN a competition betwixt the Heirs of George Campbell, in the Canongate, and , who both had apprised a tenement of land in Leith, called